

The regulation of next generation networks

Ewan Sutherland

<http://www.3wan.net/>

Contents

- Enabling conditions
- Flexibility in licensing
- Interconnection, transit and peering
- Access
- Effectiveness of regulatory tools
- Conclusions
- Issues

NGN – levels of demand?

- Enormous pressure from equipment manufacturers
- Considerable attention from the operators
 - really about next generation revenues
 - cautious on increased capital expenditure
- Some interest from regulators
- However, very little evidence of interest from:
 - residential customers:
 - multiple play in developed countries
 - 2G wireless in less developed countries
 - business customers:
 - IP-VPNs
 - nomadic Wi-Fi and ADSL

An enabling environment

- Setting the correct broad parameters
- Ensuring competition
- Encouraging investment
- Eliminating bottlenecks
- Allowing operators to take technological risks:
 - there may not be customers!
 - the next technology will arrive tomorrow
- Removing inappropriate obstacles:
 - but not vital and justified regulations
- Balancing interests of:
 - asset-based carriers
 - service providers
- Remembering this is all for the benefit of end-users

Second Network Operator

- One of the early models for liberalisation
 - Mercury and the figure of eight
- Now obsolete and discredited
- Failed to deliver the promised goals:
 - especially in local access networks
- Instead, success comes from opening markets to multiple (types of) players

Licensing flexibility

- Removing conditions that block the supply of services to customers
- Notably, allowing cellular wireless operators into:
 - fixed network services
 - international gateways
- Technology neutrality in spectrum licences
- Makes possible, but does not require:
 - CDMA on 450 MHz
 - UMTS on 900 MHz
- Not allowing 3GSM operators to take control of spectrum for DVB-H, WiMAX, etc.

Interconnection

- WTO commitments: Interconnection at any technically feasible point on the network at cost-oriented prices
- Technically simple voice interconnection
- However, complex payment issues:
 - international settlements
 - mobile termination rates
- Separate Internet model:
 - peering
 - transit
- Developing countries:
 - have taken a very long time to engage with this model
 - many have still to regulate national and international leased lines as essential inputs

Access on fixed networks

- Original policies were conceived for voice
- Extended to broadband:
 - the very long story of unbundling
- A pattern of embittered resistance by incumbent operators
- NGN re-opens the debate:
 - no quick or easy solution
 - points of presence may change dramatically
 - could take years and damaged competition

Access on mobile networks

- Operators oppose regulated access:
 - they claim markets are competitive
 - however, market power is highly concentrated
- Very few examples of negotiated access
- MNOs prefer to re-sell content to “their” customers
- Oligopolistic control of access network

The obvious exception is the USA, where MVNO and cable/mobile deals have been struck.

Leased lines – tail circuits

- An ancient problem
- Still an *essential facility* today, for example, in:
 - Singapore
 - United Kingdom
 - United States of America
- Alternative providers have not yet managed to build significant local access networks
- No reason to expect this to change
- No reason it should be easier elsewhere

Collocation

- Rivals need to collocate equipment
- They need access to install and maintain
- They need space
- They need electricity (with back-up)
- They may need:
 - roof space for microwave antennae
 - street cabinets
- The equipment of all parties needs to be secure

Price regulation

- Benchmarking with other countries
- Selecting an appropriate model:
 - historic costs
 - Long Run Incremental Costs (LRIC)
- Identifying data requirements
- Obtaining pricing data from the operator
- Validating the data and the model
- Revising the model

Next generation regulation

- Regulation is characterised by:
 - enormous complexity
 - Aggressive Legal Manoeuvring (ALM)
 - some very complex hypothetical economics
- What do we know works:
 - benchmarking
 - opening markets
 - technological neutrality
 - merger control

Degrees of separation

- Traditional forms of separation:
 - accounting separation
 - structural separation
- A new hybrid form of “operational separation”
- The United Kingdom developed this:
 - highly complex and poorly understood
 - application of competition law (Enterprise Act 2002)
 - unlikely to be easily applied elsewhere
- Appears very hard to justify
- May well remove the incentive for further investments in the local access network!

Consultation

- Preparing an analytical document
- Setting out the options
- Involving the general public
- Publishing a draft measure
- Making a reasoned case for the measure
- Reviewing the effects after a few years

How do you get anyone other than operators to participate?

Conclusions

- NGN is subject to considerable hype
- There is a tendency to conflate many current regulatory issues into NGN
- Instead, we need to sort out what is required to allow NGNs to be deployed:
 - not to over-encourage
 - not to damage competition
- We need to have a set of proven next generation regulatory tools

Issues

- How do we ensure future investment?
- How do we ensure competition?
- How do we achieve simplicity?
- Is 3G-LTE an NGN or is it 4G or 5G?
- Making sure we are ready for the next-NGN

Thank you

Ewan Sutherland

<http://3wan.net/>

3wan [at] 3wan.net

+44 141 416 0666

skype://sutherla

<http://link.wits.ac.za/>

