**International Telecommunication Union** 



The H.264/MPEG-4 Advanced Video Coding (AVC) Standard

Gary J. Sullivan, Ph.D.

ITU-T VCEG Rapporteur | Chair ISO/IEC MPEG Video Rapporteur | Co-Chair ITU/ISO/IEC JVT Rapporteur | Co-Chair

July 2005

ITU-T VICA Workshop 22-23 July 2005, ITU Headquarter, Geneva

# The Advanced Video Coding Project AVC = ITU-T H.264 / MPEG-4 part 10

- History: ITU-T Q.6/SG16 (VCEG Video Coding Experts Group) "H.26L" standardization activity (where the "L" stood for "long-term")
- August 1999: 1<sup>st</sup> test model (TML-1)
- July 2001: MPEG open call for technology: H.26L demo'ed
- December 2001: Formation of the Joint Video Team (JVT) between VCEG and MPEG to finalize H.26L as a new joint project (similar to MPEG-2/H.262)
- July 2002: Final Committee Draft status in MPEG
- **Dec '02** technical freeze, FCD ballot approved
- May '03 completed in both orgs
- July '04 Fidelity Range Extensions (FRExt) completed
- January '05 Scalable Video Coding launched

# **AVC Objectives**

#### Primary technical objectives:

- Significant improvement in coding efficiency
- High loss/error robustness
- "Network Friendliness" (carry it well on MPEG-2 or RTP or H.32x or in MPEG-4 file format or MPEG-4 systems or ...)
- Low latency capability (better quality for higher latency)
- Exact match decoding

#### Additional version 2 objectives (in FRExt):

- Professional applications (more than 8 bits per sample, 4:4:4 color sampling, etc.)
- Higher-quality high-resolution video
- Alpha plane support (a degree of "object" functionality)

## Relating to Other ITU & MPEG Standards

- Same design to be approved in both ITU-T VCEG and ISO/IEC MPEG
- In ITU-T VCEG this is a new & separate standard
  - ITU-T Recommendation H.264
  - ITU-T Systems (H.32x) support it
- In ISO/IEC MPEG this is a new "part" in the MPEG-4 suite
  - Separate codec design from prior MPEG-4 visual
  - New part 10 called "Advanced Video Coding" (AVC similar to "AAC" position in MPEG-2 as separate codec)
  - Not backward or forward compatible with prior standards (incl. the prior MPEG-4 visual spec. core technology is different)
  - MPEG-4 Systems / File Format supports it
- H.222.0 | MPEG-2 Systems also supports it

# A Comparison of Performance

- Test of different standards (ICIP 2002 study)
- Using same rate-distortion optimization techniques for all codecs
- Streaming test: High-latency (included B frames)
  - Four QCIF sequences coded at 10 Hz and 15 Hz (Foreman, Container, News, Tempete) and
  - Four CIF sequences coded at 15 Hz and 30 Hz (Bus, Flower Garden, Mobile and Calendar, and Tempete)
- Real-time conversation test: No B frames
  - Four QCIF sequences encoded at 10Hz and 15Hz (Akiyo, Foreman, Mother and Daughter, and Silent Voice)
  - Four CIF sequences encoded at 15Hz and 30Hz (Carphone, Foreman, Paris, and Sean)
- Compare four codecs using PSNR measure:
  - **MPEG-2** (in high-latency/streaming test only)
  - H.263 (high-latency profile, conversational high-compression profile, baseline profile)
  - **MPEG-4 Visual** (simple and advanced simple profiles with & without B pictures)
  - **H.264/AVC** (with & without B pictures)
- Note: These test results are from a private study and are not an endorsed report of the JVT, VCEG or MPEG organizations.

#### Comparison to MPEG-2, H.263, MPEG-4p2



#### Comparison to MPEG-2, H.263, MPEG-4p2



#### Caution: Your Mileage Will Vary

- This encoding software may not represent implementation quality
- These tests only up to CIF (quarter-standard-definition) resolution
- Interlace, SDTV, and HDTV not tested in this test
- Test sequences may not be representative of the variety of content encountered by applications
- These tests so far not aligned with profile designs
- This study reports PSNR, but perceptual quality is what matters

#### Computing Resources for the New Design

- New design includes relaxation of traditional bounds on computing resources – rough guess 2-3x the MIPS, ROM & RAM requirements of MPEG-2 for decoding, 3-4x for encoding
- Particularly an issue for low-power (e.g., mobile) devices
- Problem areas:
  - Smaller block sizes for motion compensation (cache access issues)
  - Longer filters for motion compensation (more memory access)
  - Multi-frame motion compensation (more memory for reference frame storage)
  - In-loop deblocking filter (more processing & memory access)
  - More segmentations of macroblock to choose from (more searching in the encoder)
  - More methods of predicting intra data (more searching)
  - Arithmetic coding (adaptivity, computation on output bits)



## **Motion Compensation Accuracy**



## **Multiple Reference Frames**





## **Transform Coding**



H.264/AVC July '05

## **Deblocking Filter**



# **Entropy Coding**



#### AVC Version 1 Profiles

- Three profiles in version 1: Baseline, Main, and Extended
- Baseline (esp. Videoconferencing & Wireless)
  - I and P progressive-scan picture coding (not B)
  - In-loop deblocking filter
  - 1/4-sample motion compensation
  - Tree-structured motion segmentation down to 4x4 block size
  - VLC-based entropy coding
  - Some enhanced error resilience features
    - Flexible macroblock ordering/arbitrary slice ordering
    - Redundant slices

#### Non-Baseline AVC Version 1 Profiles

#### Main Profile (esp. Broadcast)

- All Baseline features **except** enhanced error resilience features
- Interlaced video handling
- Generalized B pictures
- Adaptive weighting for B and P picture prediction
- CABAC (arithmetic entropy coding)
- Extended Profile (esp. Streaming)
  - All Baseline features
  - Interlaced video handling
  - Generalized B pictures
  - Adaptive weighting for B and P picture prediction
  - More error resilience: Data partitioning
  - SP/SI switching pictures

#### Amendment 1: Fidelity-Range Extensions

- AVC standard finished 2003
  - ITU-T/H.264 finalized May, 2003
  - MPEG-4 AVC finalized July, 2003 (same thing)
  - Only corrigenda (bug fixes) since then
- Fidelity-Range Extensions (FRExt)
  - New work item initiated in July 2003
  - More than 8 bits, color other than 4:2:0
  - Alpha coding
  - More coding efficiency capability
  - Also new supplemental information

# **FRExt Finished July 04**

- Project initiated July 2003
  - Motivations
    - Higher quality, higher rates
    - -4:4:4, 4:2:2, and also 4:2:0
    - -8, 10, or 12 bits (14 bits considered and not included)
    - Lossless
    - Stereo
- Finished in one year! (July 04)

## New Things in FRExt – Part 1

- Larger transforms
  - 8x8 transform (again!)
  - Drop 4x8, 8x4, or larger, 16-point...
- Filtered intra prediction modes for 8x8 block size
- Quantization matrix
  - 4x4, 8x8, intra, inter trans. coefficients weighted differently
  - Old idea, dating to JPEG and before (circa 1986?)
  - Full capabilities not yet explored (visual weighting)
- Coding in various color spaces
  - 4:4:4, 4:2:2, 4:2:0, Monochrome, with/without Alpha
  - New integer color transform (a VUI-message item)

# New Things in FRExt – Part 2

- Efficient lossless interframe coding
- Film grain characterization for analysis/synthesis representation
- Stereo-view video support
- Deblocking filter display preference

## 8x8 16-Bit (Bossen) Transform

8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
12	10	6	3	-3	-6	-10	-12
8	4	-4	-8	-8	-4	4	8
10	-3	-12	-6	6	12	3	-10
8	-8	-8	8	8	-8	-8	8
6	-12	3	10	-10	-3	12	-6
4	-8	8	-4	-4	8	-8	4
3	-6	10	-12	12	-10	6	-3

## 8x8 Transform Advantage (JVT-K028, IBBP coding, prog. scan)

Sequence	% BD bit-rate reduction			
Movie 1	11.59			
Movie 2	12.71			
Movie 3	12.01			
Movie 4	11.06			
Movie 5	13.46			
Crawford	10.93			
Riverbed	15.65			
Average	12.48			

# **Quantization Matrix**

- Similar concept to MPEG-2 design
- Vary step size based on frequency
- Adapted to modified transform structure
- More efficient representation of weights
- Eight downloadable matrices (at least 4:2:0)
  - Intra 4x4 Y, Cb, Cr
  - Intra 8x8 Y
  - Inter 4x4 Y, Cb, Cr
  - Inter 8x8 Y

## **New Profiles Created by FRExt**

- 4:2:0, 8-bit: "High" (HP)
- 4:2:0, 10-bit: "High 10" (Hi10)
- 4:2:2, 10-bit: "High 4:2:2" (Hi422)
- 4:4:4, 12-bit: "High 4:4:4" (Hi444)
- Effectively the same tools, but acting on different input data (with a couple of exceptions in the 4:4:4 profile)

# Some Notes on Quality Testing

- Use appropriate "High" profile (incl. adaptive transform)
- If testing for PSNR, use "flat" quant matrices
- Otherwise, use "non-flat" quant matrices
- Use more than 1 or 2 reference pictures
- Use hierarchical reference frames coding structure
- Use CABAC entropy coding
- If testing high-quality PSNR, use adaptive quantization\*
- Use rate-distortion optimization in encoder
- Use large-range good-quality motion search

\* = See G. Sullivan & S. Sun, "On Dead-Zone...", VCIP 2005/JVT-N011

#### A Performance Test for High Profile (from JVT-L033 - Panasonic)

- Subjective tests by Blu-Ray Disk Founders of FRExt HP
  - 4:2:0/8 (HP) 1920x1080x24p (1080p), 3 clips.
  - Nominal 3:1 advantage to MPEG-2
    - -8 Mbps HP scored better than 24 Mbps MPEG-2
  - Apparent transparency at 16 Mbps



## **For Further Information**

- JVT, MPEG, and VCEG management team members:
  - Gary Sullivan (<u>garysull@microsoft.com</u>)
  - Jens Ohm (<u>ohm@ient.rwth-aachen.de</u>)
  - Ajay Luthra (<u>aluthra@motorola.com</u>)
  - Thomas Wiegand (<u>wiegand@hhi.de</u>)
- IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology Special Issue on H.264/AVC (July 2003)
- Paper in Proceedings of IEEE January 2005
- I. Richardson, *H.264 and MPEG-4 Video Compression*
- Overview including FRExt: SPIE Aug 2004 (Sullivan, Topiwala, and Luthra)
- Paper at VCIP 2005: Meta-overview and deployment