

# New Techniques for Improved Video Coding

Thomas Wiegand Fraunhofer Institute for Telecommunications Heinrich Hertz Institute Berlin, Germany wiegand@hhi.de



Fraunhofer Institut Nachrichtentechnik Heinrich-Hertz-Institut

# Outline

- § Inter-frame Encoder Optimization
- **§** Texture Analysis and Synthesis
- § Model-Aided Coding

### Scope of Video Coding Standardization

# Only Restrictions on the *Bitstream*, *Syntax*, and *Decoder* are standardized:

- Permits optimization beyond the obvious
- Permits complexity reduction for implementability
- Provides no guarantees of quality



#### Hybrid Video Encoder



#### Inter-frame Optimization of Video Encoding

S Most video encoders select locally optimal transform coefficients as they ignore temporal dependencies



S New approach that takes inter-frame dependencies into account

### **Linear Signal Model for Decoder**



- S Very large size of matrices
- S Numbers when optimizing 4 QCIF pictures jointly: M, T: 100,000 x 100,000 entries

### **Quadratic Program**

- **S** Use Mean Squared Error distortion
- S Select transform coefficient levels by solving:



S Equivalent to a quadratic programS Can be solved by standard solution methods!

#### Results

- § Used H.264/MPEG4-AVC
- S Compare looking at 2 or 3 frames at a time
- S Compare to H.264/MPEG4-AVC Test Model
- S Constant QP
- S Motion estimation on original frames
- S Only optimized inter-frames

### FlowerGarden 30Hz IPPP.....



#### 16% Bit-rate improvement

### FlowerGarden 30Hz IbPbPbP.....



#### **Summarizing Inter-frame Optimization**

- SVery complex: has only recently become possible
- § Problems with H.264/MPEG4-AVC: rounding
- SAdjust design to support efficient encoding methods
- SHeavy incorporation of encoding methods has been used in H.264/MPEG4-AVC development with Lagrangian encoder optimization
- § Do not standardize the encoder!

### **Texture Analysis and Synthesis**

- S Textures with large amount of visible details are difficult to code e.g., grass, sand, clouds, water ...
- S Viewer often does not perceive large differences between different versions of grass, sand, clouds, water ...





Where is the original texture ?

### Video Analysis and Synthesis

S Approach: Exact reproduction of details is irrelevant if

- Textures are shown with limited spatial accuracy
- Viewer does not know the original video
- S Consequence: Mean Squared Error (MSE) distortion criterion is not suitable for efficient coding of detail-irrelevant textures
- S Issue: Could we use similarity criteria (color histograms, etc) instead of MSE as coding distortion ?
- S Scheme: If information needed for approximate reproduction of detail-irrelevant textures requires less bit-rate than using MSE
  Ł bit-rate savings

T. Wiegand: New Techniques for Improved Video Coding

### Video Codec Architecture



# Video coding using a texture analyzer (TA) and a texture synthesizer (TS)

### **Texture Analyzer Principle**



#### **Texture Synthesizer**



Stuffing procedure



#### **Texture Synthesizer**

- S Match surrounding samples between current picture and warped pictures to find synthesis sample for the current sample
- § Decoder processing required!
- § Volume matching for water and temporal consistence



Flowergarden, CIF, 30 Hz, QP=16, 41 frames

#### Std H264/AVC

#### H264/AVC+TA+TS Semi-auto. gen. masks



Bit-rate savings: 19.4%

Canoe, CIF, 30 Hz, QP=16, 73 frames

#### Std H264/AVC

#### H264/AVC+TA+TS Semi-auto. gen. masks



Bit-rate savings: 8.8 %

#### Summarizing Texture Analysis and Synthesis

- S Textures with large amount of visible details are difficult to code e.g., grass, sand, clouds, water ...
- S Errors in these textures are barely visible
- S Texture analysis and synthesis can help to represent these textures efficiently
- S Method requires processing at the decoder side
- S Other methods require processing at the decoder side to achieve coding efficiency improvements through backward adaptation (CABAC)

### Model-Aided Coding

- S Model-based coding (MBC):
  - Extreme low bit-rates possible
  - Missing generality
- S Hybrid video coding (H.263/4, MPEG-2/4,...)
  - Robust against scene changes
  - Higher bit-rates
- S Combination of MBC with hybrid video coding
  - $\Rightarrow$  Model-aided codec
  - High coding efficiency
  - No restriction to scene content

#### Architecture of the Model-Aided Codec



### Coding Results

- Sequenz Clapper Board: 8.33 Hz, CIF resolution, 260 frames
- Same average bit-rate (≈12 kbit/s)
- § H.263 annexes D, F, I, J, T



### Reconstruction Quality vs. Bit-rate



- S Gain of 3.5 dB PSNR compared to H.263 Coder (TMN-10)
- § Bit-rate reduction  $\approx 45 \%$

#### Model-Aided Coding with Approximate Geometry



#### Summarizing Model-Aided Coding

SWaveform-coding and 3-D model-based coding can be combined to efficiently exploit statistical dependencies in the video signal

SThe "trick" is to generate a model/representation of the video signal and to animate the central representation to predict the video signal:

§3D computer graphic models

§ Mosaics

 $\mathbf{S}\mathsf{MCTF}$ 

## Concluding Remarks

Three Techniques are presented that improve against existing H.264/MPEG4-AVC coding

#### 1. Inter-frame encoder optimization

§ Exploit dependencies over multiple pictures

S Incorporate/anticipate efficient encoding into decoder standardization process (do not standardize encoder)

#### 2. Video analysis and synthesis (VAS)

S Consider subjective methods in video compression (investigate alternative distortion measures)

S Allow more processing at the decoder side for backward adaptive coding

#### 3. Model-aided coding

§ Further enhance exploitation of statistical dependencies
 § Incorporate more semantic ideas – combination with VAS

## Acknowledgements

- § Peter Eisert
- § Bernd Girod
- S Patrick Ndjiki-Nya
- S Brad Schumitsch
- § Heiko Schwarz
- S Aljoscha Smolic