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| **31 October 2019** |
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|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Documents** |
| **1** | Opening of the meeting | – |
| **2** | Approval of the agenda  The agenda was adopted without change. | [ADM/15(Rev.1)](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-RA19-ADM-0015/en) |
| **3** | Composition of Ad Hoc Group on Rec. ITU-R M.1036  The meeting agreed to nominate two persons to lead the discussion on the terrestrial and satellite components of IMT. | – |
| **3.1** | Chairman to lead aspects relating to the terrestrial component of IMT, in accordance with Doc. 5/3(Rev.1)  The name, as agreed by the meeting, to lead this discussion is Mr M. Kraemer. | – |
| **3.2** | Vice-Chairman to lead aspects relating to the satellite component of IMT, in accordance with Doc 5/3(Rev.1)  The name, as agreed by the meeting, to lead this discussion is Mr C. Hofer. | – |
| **3.3** | Documents assigned to this group | [5/1009](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-SG05-RP-1009/en), PLEN/[21(Rev.1)](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-RA19-C-0021/en), [23](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-RA19-C-0023/en), [24](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-RA19-C-0024/en), [25](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-RA19-C-0025/en), [30](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-RA19-C-0030/en) |
| **4** | Report from Committee 4  The Chairman of Committee 4 reported that the Committee had held one meeting and established three Working Groups: 4A (Work programme and Questions), 4B (Review of existing Resolutions relating to Study Group activities) and 4C (New Resolutions relating to Study Group activities). The meeting assigned input documents to the three WGs as detailed in [ADM/10](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-RA19-ADM-0010/en). WG 4B had provided five documents for consideration by the meeting. | – |
| **5** | Consideration of outputs from Committee 4  Documents PLEN/33, 34, 35, 36 and 37 contain the draft revision of Resolutions ITU-R 50, 55, 58, 60 and 66 respectively were introduced. All documents presented editorial updates and other improvements to the texts. No comments were received and the documents were adopted. | PLEN/[33](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-RA19-C-0033/en), [34](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-RA19-C-0034/en), [35](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-RA19-C-0035/en), [36](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-RA19-C-0036/en), [37](https://www.itu.int/md/R19-RA19-C-0037/en) |
| **6** | Report from Committee 5  The Chairman of Committee 5 reported that the Committee had held two meetings and established two Working Groups: 5A (Working methods and CPM) and 5B (Other issues). The meeting assigned input documents to the two WGs as detailed in ADM/12. | – |
| **7** | Chairman’s Report and submissions from Study Group 7  Chairman of SG 7 reported that, amongst other things, the draft new Recommendation M.[IMT-EESS] was sent out for adoption by correspondence which was done via administrative circular CACE/903. The adoption of this Recommendation received an objection by the United States. Normally, this objection would have required to submit the Recommendation to the Assembly for possible consideration, since there was no other Study Group meeting scheduled prior to the Assembly. However, in July Study Group 7 also received a note from Working Party 5D concerning this subject, and that note indicated that there was doubt concerning whether or not this Recommendation had been coordinated correctly with other groups. In order to improve the text of the Recommendation, the Chairman of SG 7 decided to send the text back to Working Party 7B for further consideration and coordination with Working Party 5D. The Administration of the Russian Federation requested further clarification as to why the text was not submitted to the Assembly. Upon request, the Administration of the United States provided additional information on the nature of the objection. The Administration of France requested clarifications on the relevant provisions of Resolution ITU-R 1-7 that deal with such situations. | 7/[1001](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-SG07-RP-1001/en), [1002](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-SG07-RP-1002/en), [1003](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-SG07-RP-1003/en), [1004](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-SG07-RP-1004/en) |
| **8** | Chairman’s Report and submissions from Study Group 5  To be considered at the next meeting. | 5/[1001](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-SG05-RP-1001/en), [1002](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-SG05-RP-1002/en), [1003](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-SG05-RP-1003/en), [1004](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-SG05-RP-1004/en), [1005](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-SG05-RP-1005/en), [1006](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-SG05-RP-1006/en), [1007](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-SG05-RP-1007/en), [1008](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-SG05-RP-1008/en) |
| **9** | Any other business | – |

The Chairman announced that an additional meeting would be held on Wednesday 23 October and closed the meeting at 1445 hours.

S. PASTUKH  
 Chairman, RA-19