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# 1 Introduction

At the 32nd meeting of Working Party 5D (WP 5D) held in Búzios, WP 5D has reviewed the 7 candidate submission technologies proposed from six proponents. It was agreed that the submissions of 3GPP, China and Korea are “complete”. Thus, they fulfilled the requirements for submission in Step 3 of the IMT-2020 process.

However, WP 5D has not yet determined whether the submissions of ETSI/DECT Forum, EUHT and TSDSI are “complete”. In accordance with the agreements in Document [IMT-2020/2](https://www.itu.int/md/R15-IMT.2020-C-0002/en), these proponents should provide the full details requested in the process and in the specifically defined way.

The proponents ‘ETSI/DECT Forum’, ‘EUHT’ and ‘TSDSI’ already submitted description template, compliance template and self-evaluation report by 10th September 2019, such as Documents [5D/1299](https://www.itu.int/md/meetingdoc.asp?lang=en&parent=R15-WP5D-C-1299), [5D/1300](https://www.itu.int/md/meetingdoc.asp?lang=en&parent=R15-WP5D-C-1300) and [5D/1301](https://www.itu.int/md/meetingdoc.asp?lang=en&parent=R15-WP5D-C-1301).

At the 33rd meeting, WP 5D will review the submissions from the proponents ‘ETSI/DECT Forum’, ‘EUHT’ and ‘TSDSI’. And then, WP 5D will decide the acknowledgement of these proposed candidate technology submissions.

# 2 Considerations for the technical review in the 33rd meeting

* The additional submissions provided by the ETSI/DECT Forum, EUHT and TSDSI will be reviewed in technical details in the WP 5D 33rd meeting.
* The issues raised in 32nd meeting of WP 5D could be checked to see whether all these issues are addressed.
* The submissions could be checked that the existing issues and whether there are new issues of the additional materials.
* Question collection and corresponding response could facilitate SWG Evaluation to reach a conclusion about the acknowledgement of the proposed candidate technology submissions.
* Report ITU-R M.[IMT-2020.OUTCOME] that captures the important raised issues could help WP 5D to perform consensus building and decision in the following process.

# 3 Proposals

Based on the above considerations, China proposes that the important raised issues during the submission of Steps 3 and following IMT-2020 process in ITU could be recorded in Report ITU-R M.[IMT-2020.OUTCOME]. The possible template is as below:

*[– IMT-2020/[Number]*

 *1 Important issue [Number] [insert the questions, if applicable]]*

 *• Response [insert the answers or inserted materials, if applicable]*

 *2 Important issue [Number] [insert the questions, if applicable]]*

 *• Response [insert the answers or inserted materials, if applicable]*]

China kindly asks WP 5D to take into account the above proposal.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_