

# **DIGITAL TV: THE NEXT 40 YEARS?**

**Philip Laven**  
**Chairman, DVB**  
**Vice-Chairman, FOBTv**

***ITU-R SG6 Symposium***

***30 October 2012***

# ANALOGUE TV

---

- Although there were three basic standards for analogue colour TV (NTSC, SECAM and PAL), many countries adopted subtly different versions of the basic standards
- In the 1960s, manufacturers (and national governments) believed that it was a good idea to have national standards for TV
  - thus protecting indigenous industry against “foreign” imports
- By the 1980s, manufacturers realised that national standards made it difficult to export to other markets (now called “barriers to trade”)

# THE BEGINNINGS OF DIGITAL TV

---

- **Digital composite TV or digital component TV?**
  - digital composite TV would have perpetuated the analogue TV standards in the digital world
- **In 1980, the EBU-SMPTE Task Force opted for digital component TV as the way forward:**
  - future-proof
  - globally applicable (despite 50/59.94 Hz issues)
  - subsequently adopted by the ITU as Rec. 601
- **This “early win” of a global standard offered the hope that digital TV might avoid the fragmented standards of analogue TV**

# DIGITAL TERRESTRIAL TV

---

- It was not possible to get agreement on a true global standard for digital terrestrial TV
- Independent developments in North America, Europe and Japan resulted in three different systems (ATSC, DVB-T and ISDB-T)
- The multiplicity of standards was justified by:
  - different requirements;
  - different timetables for implementation;
  - each region being large enough to sustain its own standard
- The “**not invented here**” syndrome won . . .

# **COST and COMPLEXITY**

---

- **Why do DVD players cost more than set-top boxes for digital TV?**
- **Similar complexity in terms of electronics, but DVD players are electro-mechanical devices with**
  - **a turntable mechanism running at 1500 r.p.m.**
  - **a laser**
  - **laser tracking servos**
  - **a detector to read data from the disc**
  - **error-correction systems**

# **COST PENALTY**

---

- **DVD players are much cheaper because DVD is a worldwide standard – unlike digital TV**
- **Regional fragmentation of digital TV standards is a significant barrier to low-cost devices**
- **Consumer electronics manufacturers must produce many different versions of TVs to satisfy the requirements of national markets**
- **This problem has cost many billions of dollars**
  - **this cost penalty has been paid by consumers**

# BROADCASTING HAS ADVANTAGES

---

- **Traditional broadcasting (i.e. “one-way” “one-to-many” transmission) is the best possible way of delivering live TV to massive audiences**
- **We need to enhance digital terrestrial transmission systems to meet the needs of mobile and portable devices**
- **Consumers would welcome the ability to watch free-to-air TV services on such devices (without incurring heavy charges for downloading of data)**
- **It is unreasonable to expect manufacturers to incorporate all of the various standards for digital TV (e.g. ATSC, DVB, ISDB-T, DTMB, etc.)**

# REGIONAL STANDARDS

---

- Different regional standards for digital TV might have been excusable when TV sets were rarely moved between countries
- High-quality portable displays (e.g. smart-phones and tablets) dramatically change the environment
- Achieving a single global standard is undoubtedly *“easier said than done”*, BUT it would be sad if the next-generation of digital terrestrial TV perpetuated the existing fragmentation of standards
- A unified standard would offer huge benefits for broadcasters, manufacturers and, above all, consumers





- The FOBTV (Future of Broadcast TV) initiative was established by a declaration agreed in Shanghai on 11 November 2011  
at precisely 11.11.11 on 11/11/11

**FOBTV**

FUTURE OF BROADCAST TELEVISION



FUTURE OF BROADCAST TELEVISION

# FOUNDING MEMBERS



# A NEW BEGINNING?

---

- **FOBTV is hopefully the start of a new era in which “global collaboration” will be the key principle**
- **Such enhanced collaboration is emphasized by the appointment of Mark Richer (ATSC’s President) as FOBTV Chairman and Phil Laven (DVB’s Chairman) as FOBTV Vice-Chairman**

**What will FOBTV do?**

# GOALS OF FOBTV

---

- **Develop future ecosystem models for terrestrial broadcasting taking into account business, regulatory and technical environments**
- **Develop requirements for next generation terrestrial broadcast systems**
- **Foster collaboration of DTV development laboratories**
- **Recommend major technologies to be used as the basis for new standards**
- **Request standardization of selected technologies (layers) by appropriate standards development organizations (ATSC, DVB, ARIB, TTA, etc.)**

# FOBTV

---

- **There is no shortage of ideas in FOBTV**
  - **which ones are the most important?**
- **FOBTV must overcome other challenges:**
  - **The “not invented here” syndrome in which protagonists prefer their own technology over technologies suggested by others**
  - **“IPR stuffing” where participants suggest that a particular technology be included in the specification because their employer has a relevant patent**

# LOOKING FORWARD

---

- **Continuing pressure on the spectrum means that broadcasters must adopt the most efficient technologies**
  - **modulation and coding systems**
  - **video compression systems**
- **Although many countries have still to make the transition to HDTV, some countries are considering the introduction of UHDTV**
- **We must not miss these opportunities for global standardisation . . .**

# CONCLUSION

---

- A single global standard for digital TV has long been attractive – but it is almost too late!
- The failure to achieve global standard is not the fault of the ITU: the delegations were responsible!
- New spectrum-efficient delivery systems must meet the demands of SDTV, HDTV and UHD TV
- We need to develop a delivery system providing TV services to mobile and portable devices, such as smart-phones and tablets

**These markets will NOT develop  
without a global standard**