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Dear Sir/Madam,

1 At the request of the Chairman of Study Group 5, *Environment and climate change*, I have the honour to inform you that this Study Group, in its meeting in Seoul from 20 to 28 September 2011, agreed to delete Question 20/5 – Data collection for energy efficiency for ICTs over the lifecycle, in accordance with the provisions of Resolution 1, Section 7, § 7.4.1, of WTSA (Johannesburg, 2008), by reaching consensus among those present.

2 **Annex 1** gives an explanatory summary about the reasons for the deletion of this Question.

3 Having regard to the provisions of Resolution 1, Section 7, I should be grateful if you would inform me by 2400 hours UTC **on 8 January 2012** whether your Administration approves or rejects this deletion.

4 Those Member States who indicate disapproval are requested to provide their reasons and to indicate the possible changes that would facilitate further study of the Question.

5 After the above mentioned deadline (8 January 2012), the Director of TSB will notify, in a circular, the result of the consultation.

Yours faithfully,

Malcolm Johnson  
Director of the Telecommunication  
Standardization Bureau

**Annex: 1**

**Annex 1**(to TSB Circular 236)

**Reasons for deletion of Question 20/5**

After examination of the wording of Question 20/5, Study Group 5 found that the major part of this Question was already covered by Question 17/5, and decided to revise Question 17/5 to cover the tasks given in Question 20/5 that fall under its mandate.

Question 20/5 is therefore proposed for deletion.
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