# **RECOMMENDATION ITU-R F.349-5\***

# Frequency stability required for systems operating in the HF fixed service to make the use of automatic frequency control superfluous

(1963-1966-1970-1978-1986-1999)

The ITU Radiocommunication Assembly,

#### considering

a) that it is the practice with certain single-sideband (SSB) and independent-sideband (ISB) telephone systems, and with many telegraph systems, to employ automatic frequency control (AFC) to adjust the receiver oscillator frequency in sympathy with variations in the frequency of the transmitted signal;

b) that such AFC systems may give rise to difficulty under unfavourable conditions of propagation, at frequencies below 30 MHz;

c) that Recommendation ITU-R SM.1045 specifies frequency tolerance of transmitters;

d) that, with systems dispensing with AFC, the frequency error of the modulating and demodulating stages and of the radio-frequency translating stages at the transmitting and the receiving ends, together with the frequency error due to the propagation path, contribute to an overall frequency error;

e) that the overall frequency error of the complete system is decisive and that as far as feasible this error should be shared equally by both the transmitting and the receiving ends;

f) that, however, in certain cases when narrow-shift telegraph systems are employed, reasons other than frequency stability of the equipment may still require the use of AFC,

#### recommends

1 that the values of permissible frequency errors given in Table 1, should be considered as suitable for use on systems giving access to the public service network and dispensing with AFC (see Note 1);

2 that the figures in column (a) of Table 1 are decisive for the system, and that those given in the columns (b), (c) and (d) should be considered as an example as to how the overall frequency error could be split up into errors permissible in the parts constituting a complete system;

<sup>\*</sup> Radiocommunication Study Group 9 made editorial amendments to this Recommendation in 2001 in accordance with Resolution ITU-R 44.

**3** that, however, the use of AFC may be retained for telephone systems using Lincompex terminals, as set forth in Recommendation ITU-R F.1111, and for multichannel voice-frequency telegraph systems on circuits where significant frequency deviations, due to propagation conditions, are encountered (see Annex 1).

NOTE 1 – The frequency stability which can be achieved and specified in this Recommendation is more stringent than Recommendation ITU-R SM.1045.

|        |                 |   | Maximum                     | Frequency error due to:       |  | Frequency error due to the radio-frequency |
|--------|-----------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| System |                 | permissible<br>overall error<br>(Hz)  | Modulator<br>stages<br>(Hz) | Demodulator<br>stages<br>(Hz) | translating stages at<br>both ends and to the<br>propagation path <sup>(1)</sup><br>(Hz) |  |
|        |                 |   | (a)                         | (b)                           | (c)  | (d)  |
| 1      | Single-sideband | Single-sideband and independent-sideband telephony  |                             | 5                             | 5  | 10   |
| 2      | Radiotelegraph  | Radiotelegraphy:  |                             |                               |  |  |
|        | 340 Hz to       | multi-channel telegraphy with<br>ne spacing and MCVF frequency-<br>raphy with 340 Hz channel spacing                            | 12                          | 3                             | 3  | 6  |
|        | 200 Hz s        | <i>r</i> -shift telegraphy F1B (e.g. 50 Bd,<br>hift) and four-frequency diplex<br>7 F7B using narrow-band filters at<br>ing end | 12                          | 3                             | 3  | 6  |
|        | about 100       | nel voice-frequency telegraph<br>perating at modulation rates up to<br>Bd, with 80 or 85 Hz frequency<br>70 Hz channel spacing  | 12                          | 3                             | 3  | 6  |
|        | criminator      | F7B systems using a limiter/dis-<br>at the receiving end; modulation<br>(e.g. 196 Bd, 400 Hz shift)                             | 20 <sup>(2)</sup>           | 3                             | 3  | 14   |
|        | 2.5 Phototeleg  | graphy  | 16                          | 4                             | 4  | 8  |

TABLE 1

<sup>(1)</sup> This is the maximum error at the demodulator in the frequency of the carrier, if transmitted.

<sup>(2)</sup> For radiotelegraph systems, which use a device at the receiving end to correct for possible bias distortion due to frequency error, values larger than those indicated in the Table may be permitted.

## ANNEX 1

# Factors other than frequency stability which may make the use of AFC desirable

## 1 Introduction

The present Recommendation tabulates the permissible overall frequency errors for various systems.

### 2 Relationship between distortion and frequency error

A number of HF radiotelegraph circuits operating at modulation rates of about 100 Bd with a channel spacing of 170 Hz, use sub-carriers on ISB transmissions.

Measurements made on various well-designed frequency-shift telegraphy receivers have indicated an increase in element distortion of approximately 1.25% for each 1 Hz frequency error. Poorer band-pass filter designs or narrower channelling will raise this distortion considerably.

It has been observed that frequency changes due to ionospheric propagation of up to 7 Hz may occur during periods of up to 15 min. This can, therefore, result in an additional distortion of up to 9%, which could be reduced by the application of AFC. Further information about the statistical distribution of these phenomena would be desirable to permit fuller evaluation of their effect on circuit efficiency.

\_\_\_\_\_