## RECOMMENDATION ITU-R F.595-6

# RADIO-FREQUENCY CHANNEL ARRANGEMENTS FOR RADIO-RELAY SYSTEMS OPERATING IN THE 18 GHz FREQUENCY BAND 

(Question ITU-R 108/9)
(1982-1986-1990-1992-1995-1997-1999)

The ITU Radiocommunication Assembly,

## considering

a) that there may be economic and operational advantages in the use of radio-relay systems for the transmission of digital signals in the frequency band 17.7 to 19.7 GHz ;
b) that it may be desirable to interconnect such systems at radio frequencies on international circuits;
c) that a sufficient degree of compatibility between systems of different capacities should be assured,

## recommends

1 that the preferred radio-frequency (RF) channel arrangement for digital radio-relay systems with a capacity of the order of $280 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$, the order of $140 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$ and $34 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$ or synchronous digital hierarchy bit rates operating in the 17.7 to 19.7 GHz band should be derived as follows:

Let $\quad f_{0}$ be the frequency of the centre of the band of frequencies occupied ( MHz ),
$f_{n} \quad$ be the centre frequency of a RF channel in the lower half of the band $(\mathrm{MHz})$,
$f_{n}^{\prime} \quad$ be the centre frequency of a RF channel in the upper half of the band $(\mathrm{MHz})$,
then the frequencies $(\mathrm{MHz})$ of individual channels are expressed by the following relationships:

### 1.1 Co-channel arrangement

1.1.1 for systems with a capacity of the order of $280 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$ :
lower half of the band: $\quad f_{n}=f_{0}-1110+220 n \mathrm{MHz}$
upper half of the band: $\quad f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+10+220 n \quad \mathrm{MHz}$
where:

$$
n=1,2,3 \text { or } 4
$$

The frequency arrangement is illustrated in Fig. 1a).
1.1.2 for systems with a capacity of the order of $140 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$ :
lower half of the band: $\quad f_{n}=f_{0}-1000+110 n \mathrm{MHz}$
upper half of the band: $\quad f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+10+110 n \quad \mathrm{MHz}$
where:

$$
n=1,2,3,4,5,6,7 \text { or } 8
$$

The frequency arrangement is illustrated in Fig. 1b).
1.1.3 for systems with a capacity of the order of $34 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { lower half of the band: } & f_{n}=f_{0}-1000+27.5 n \mathrm{MHz} \\
\text { upper half of the band: } & f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+10+27.5 n \quad \mathrm{MHz}
\end{array}
$$

where:

$$
n=1,2,3, \ldots 35
$$

The frequency arrangement is illustrated in Fig. 1c).
1.1.4 for systems with a capacity of the order of $140 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$ or STM-1 with multi-state modulation formats:

| lower half of the band: | $f_{n}=f_{0}-1000+55 n$ | MHz |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| upper half of the band: | $f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+10+55 n$ | MHz |

where:

$$
n=1,2,3, \ldots 17 .
$$

The frequency arrangement is illustrated in Fig. 1d).


### 1.2 Interleaved arrangement

1.2.1 for systems with a capacity of the order of $280 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$ :
lower half of the band: $\quad f_{n}=f_{0}-1000+110 n \mathrm{MHz}$
upper half of the band: $\quad f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+120+110 n \quad \mathrm{MHz}$
where:

$$
n=1,2,3,4,5,6 \text { or } 7 .
$$

The frequency arrangement is illustrated in Fig. 2a).
1.2.2 for systems with a capacity of the order of $140 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$ :

| lower half of the band: | $f_{n}=f_{0}-945+55 n$ | MHz |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| upper half of the band: | $f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+65+55 n$ | MHz |

where:

$$
n=1,2,3, \ldots 15 .
$$

The frequency arrangement is illustrated in Fig. 2b);

FIGURE 2
Radio-frequency channel arrangement for radio-relay systems operating in the $\mathbf{1 7 . 7}$ to $\mathbf{1 9 . 7} \mathbf{~ G H z}$ band
(Interleaved arrangement)
(All frequencies in MHz)
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2 that the preferred RF channel arrangement for digital radio-relay systems with a capacity of $155 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$ for use in the synchronous digital hierarchy shall be as given in § 1.1.2 (co-channel arrangement) and § 1.2.2 (alternated channel arrangement) for systems using QPSK-like modulation.

While for systems using 16-QAM-like modulation the RF channel arrangement shown in Fig. 1d) is preferred for cochannel operation.

The frequencies of channels $2,3,4, \ldots 16$ in Fig. 1d) are the same as the centre frequencies in $\S 1.2 .2$ for channels 1,2 , $3, \ldots 15$ respectively.

Channels 1 and 17 in Fig. 1d) are allocated 55 MHz below channel 2 and above channel 16 respectively;
3 that, in the section through which an international connection is arranged to pass, all the go channels should be in one half of the band and all the return channels should be in the other half of the band;

4 that both horizontal and vertical polarizations should be used for each RF channel in the co-channel arrangement;

5 that the centre frequency $f_{0}$ is 18700 MHz ;
6 that for low capacity digital systems, i.e. below about $10 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$, frequency allocations may be accommodated within any of the high-capacity channels or guardbands as shown by Annex 3 and 5 . Channels $1,1^{\prime}$ and $8,8^{\prime}$ of Fig. 1b) or channels $1,1^{\prime}$ and 17,17 ' of Fig. 1d) and the guardbands are the most suitable choice for sub-band allocations for such low capacity utilizations, however, when more band is required, the adjacent channels may be used as shown by the example in Annex 5 where also channels 2, 2' of Fig. 1d) are assigned to low capacity use. The selection of alternative allocations should not prevent the pairing of the go and return channels in the manner described in Figs. 1 and 2;

7 that for medium-capacity systems with bit rates different from that given in § 1.1.3 above and for low capacity systems, administrations may adopt other RF channel arrangements in conformity with the recommended pattern for high-capacity systems (see Annex 4);

8 that due regard be taken of the fact that in some countries another arrangement of the go and return channels which incorporates a mid-band allocation for low capacity systems may be used, as shown in Fig. 3;

FIGURE 3

## Co-channel radio-frequency arrangement for radio-relay systems

 operating in the $\mathbf{1 8 ~ G H z}$ band referred to in recommends 7 (All frequencies in MHz )

W : wide-band channel (high-capacity of the order of $280 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$ )
N : narrow-band channel (small-capacity, below $10 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$ )
W/N : wide-band or narrow-band channel

9 that due regard be taken of the fact that in the countries where the band 17.7 to 21.2 GHz is available for the fixed service other channel arrangements may be used (see Annex 1);

10 that due regard be taken of the fact that in some countries the band 17.7 to 19.7 GHz is subdivided to serve different applications in separate parts of the band (see Annex 2) or is used for low capacity systems (see Annex 3) with different go-return and channel spacings;

11 that if multi-carrier transmission (Note 3) is employed the overall number of $n$ carriers will occupy a single channel the centre frequency and channel spacing of which will be that defined according to Figs. 1 and 2, disregarding the actual centre frequencies of the individual carriers, which may vary, for technical reasons according to practical implementations.

NOTE 1 - In establishing these systems, account should be taken of the need of passive sensors for Earth exploration by satellite and space research in the band 18.6 to 18.8 GHz particularly in Region 2 where these services have primary status in conformity with Recommendation 706 and other relevant provisions (see No. S5.522) of the Radio Regulations (see also Recommendation ITU-R SA.515, and Question ITU-R 113/9).

NOTE 2 - Actual gross bit rates may be as much as 5\% or more higher than net transmission rates.
NOTE 3 - A multi-carrier system is a system with $n$ (where $n>1$ ) digitally modulated carrier signals simultaneously transmitted (or received) by the same RF equipment.

## ANNEX 1

## Description of a RF channel arrangement in the band 17.7 to 21.2 GHz referred to in recommends 9

## 1 Introduction

In Japan, the frequency band between 17.7 and 19.7 GHz is used contiguously with the 19.7 to 21.2 GHz band for radio-relay systems having a transmission capacity of about $400 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$.

## 2 RF channel arrangements

The RF channel arrangements shown in Fig. 4 occupy a band of 3.5 GHz and provide for 9 go-and-return RF channels.
The normalized values of $X, Y, Z$ with respect to the digital symbol rate $S$ are:

$$
X=1.6 \quad Y=3.1 \quad Z=0.8
$$

where:
XS: separation between the centre frequencies of adjacent RF channels on the same plane of polarization in the same direction of transmission
$Y S$ : frequency separation between the centre frequencies of the go and return channels which are nearest to each other
$Z S$ : frequency separation between the centre frequencies of the outermost RF channels and the edge of the frequency band.

FIGURE 4
Radio-frequency channel arrangements for digital radio-relay systems operating in the $\mathbf{1 7 . 7}$ to 21.2 GHz band (Japan) (All frequencies in MHz )
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## 3 System parameters

Transmission capacity of about $400 \mathrm{Mbit} / \mathrm{s}$ ( 5760 telephone channels) per RF channel is achieved by using QPSK modulation. The system operates with a receiver noise figure of 8 dB , a transmitter output power of 22 dBm and an antenna of 1.8 m diameter. The typical repeater spacing is about 3 km , dependant on the precipitation conditions along the route. The system is also used for broadband non-telephone services e.g. picture and data communications in urban areas and also for long-distance circuits.

## ANNEX 2

## Description of a RF channel arrangement in the band 17.7 to 19.7 GHz referred to in recommends 10

In North America this band was initially used for high-capacity digital transmission and then for small-capacity digital transmission systems. Usage has been extended to intermediate transmission capacities. More recently, new requirements have been put forward for this band by other services that originally used lower frequencies. The extent and variety of existing and identified future operational requirements have resulted in subdivision of the 17.7 to 19.7 GHz band.

The multi-service requirements are met by assigning separate bands to the major different service categories and by allowing for various RF channel widths to simultaneously increase usage versatility and spectral efficiency. The resulting composite RF channel arrangement is illustrated in Fig. 5.

FIGURE 5
Radio-frequency channel arrangements for digital and analogue radio-relay systems in the $\mathbf{1 7 . 7}$ to $\mathbf{1 9 . 7} \mathbf{~ G H z}$ band (North America)
(All frequencies in MHz )

$220 \mathrm{MHz}: 220 \mathrm{MHz}$ channels
WB: $\quad 10,20,40$ and 80 MHz "wideband" channels
NB: $\quad 5,10$ and 20 MHz "narrowband" channels
DTS: $\quad 10 \mathrm{MHz}$ digital termination system channels which may be sub-divided
$6 \mathrm{MHz}: \quad 6 \mathrm{MHz}$ channels for cable TV radio-relay systems
(T): transmit frequencies: go (return)
(R): receive frequencies: return (go)

The versatility of this RF channel arrangement is exemplified by the overlap between the various WB, NB and DTS channel bandwidths, and by the common transmit-receive frequency spacings for the adjacent NB and DTS services.

## ANNEX 3

## Description of a RF channel arrangement in the band 17.7 to 19.7 GHz referred to in recommends 10

In the United Kingdom, this band is used primarily for low capacity equipment, in accordance with the following plan:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { lower half of the band: } & f_{n}=f_{0}-981.25+3.5 n \mathrm{MHz} \\
\text { upper half of the band: } & f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+26.75+3.5 n \mathrm{MHz}
\end{array}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{0} & =18700 \mathrm{MHz} \\
n & =1,2,3, \ldots 272
\end{aligned}
$$

FIGURE 6
Radio-frequency channel arrangement for low capacity radio-relay systems operating in the $18 \mathbf{G H z}$ band (United Kingdom) (All frequencies in MHz )


ANNEX 4


#### Abstract

Description of two RF channel arrangements for medium capacity radio-relay systems with 13.75 MHz channel spacing in co-channel arrangement (Fig. 7a) and with 27.5 MHz channel spacing in interleaved channel arrangement (Fig. 7b) and an example of co-channel arrangements for low capacity radio-relay systems in (Fig. 8) referred to in recommends 7


The channel arrangements are in accordance with the following plans:
Co-channel arrangement (Fig. 7a):

| lower half of the band: | $f_{n}=f_{0}-1000+13.75 n$ | MHz |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| upper half of the band: | $f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+10+13.75 n$ | MHz |

where:

$$
n=1,2,3, \ldots 70 .
$$

Interleaved channel arrangement (Fig. 7b):

| lower half of the band: | $f_{n}=f_{0}-986.25+13.75 n$ | MHz |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| upper half of the band: | $f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+23.75+13.75 n$ | MHz |

where:

$$
n=1,2,3, \ldots 69
$$

In Germany the RF co-channel arrangements for channel spacings of $1.25,2.5,5$ and 7.5 MHz are used as follows: according to Fig. 8a):

| lower half of the band: | $f_{n}=f_{0}-1000+1.25 n$ | MHz |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| upper half of the band: | $f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+10+1.25 n$ | MHz |

where:

$$
n=1,2,3, \ldots 791
$$

according to Fig. 8b):

| lower half of the band: | $f_{n}=f_{0}-1000+2.5 n$ | MHz |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| upper half of the band: | $f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+10+2.5 n$ | MHz |

where:

$$
n=1,2,3, \ldots 395
$$

according to Fig. 8c):

| lower half of the band: | $f_{n}=f_{0}-1002.5+5 n$ | MHz |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| upper half of the band: | $f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+7.5+5 n$ | MHz |

where:

$$
n=1,2,3, \ldots 198
$$

according to Fig. 8d):

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { lower half of the band: } & f_{n}=f_{0}-997.5+7.5 n & \mathrm{MHz} \\
\text { upper half of the band: } & f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+12.5+7.5 n & \mathrm{MHz}
\end{array}
$$

where:

$$
n=1,2,3, \ldots 131 .
$$

FIGURE 7a
Radio-frequency channel arrangement for medium capacity radio-relay systems with 13.75 MHz channel spacing in co-channel arrangement (All frequencies in MHz )


FIGURE 7b
Radio-frequency channel arrangement for medium capacity radio-relay systems with 27.5 MHz channel spacing in interleaved channel arrangement (All frequencies in MHz )


FIGURE 8

## Radio-frequency co-channel arrangements for low capacity digital radio-relay

systems as used in Germany derived from 13.75 MHz channel spacing
(All frequencies in MHz)


## ANNEX 5

## Description of a RF channel arrangement for low capacity digital radio-relay system (DRRS) obtained by the sub-division of high capacity channels in the band 17.7 to 19.7 GHz referred to in recommends 6

In Italy a mixed usage of high, medium and low capacity DRRS is envisaged; the frequency channel arrangements of recommends 1.1.3 and 1.1.4 are used for medium and high capacity systems, respectively.

For low capacity systems, the high capacity channels $1,1^{\prime}$ and 2 , $2^{\prime}$ are subdivided on a $1.75,3.5$ and 7 MHz basis together with the adjacent guard bands, following the rule for the centre frequencies reported below:
a) for systems requiring channel spacing of 7 MHz , the channel centre frequencies are given by:

| lower half of the band: | $f_{n}=f_{0}-997+7 n$ | MHz |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| upper half of the band: | $f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+13+7 n$ | MHz |

where:
$n=1,2,3, \ldots 18$
b) for systems requiring channel spacing of 3.5 MHz the channel centre frequencies are given by:
lower half of the band: $\quad f_{n}=f_{0}-998.75+3.5 n \quad \mathrm{MHz}$
upper half of the band: $\quad f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+11.25+3.5 n \quad \mathrm{MHz}$
where:

$$
n=1,2,3, \ldots 37 .
$$

c) for systems requiring channel spacing of 1.75 MHz the channel centre frequencies are given by:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { lower half of the band: } & f_{n}=f_{0}-997.875+1.75 n & \mathrm{MHz} \\
\text { upper half of the band: } & f_{n}^{\prime}=f_{0}+12.125+1.75 n & \mathrm{MHz}
\end{array}
$$

where:

$$
n=1,2,3, \ldots 74 .
$$

In areas where interference from other services, sharing the same band, do not allow the use of part of the above channels, the high capacity channels $3,3^{\prime}$ and $4,4^{\prime}$ may alternatively be subdivided, creating $1.75,3.5 \mathrm{and} 7 \mathrm{MHz}$ channels, which centre frequencies are given by the same formulas with the values of $n$ expanded as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=19,20,21, \ldots 33 \\
& n=38,39,40, \ldots 68 \\
& n=75,76,77, \ldots 136
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 9 shows graphically the subdivision of channels $1,1^{\prime}$ and $2,2^{\prime}$.

FIGURE 9
Radio-frequency channel arrangement for low capacity radio-relay systems (co-channel arrangement).
Example of subdivision of the first two 55 MHz channels 1, 1' and 2, 2 '
and of the guardband according to recommends 6
(All frequencies in MHz )


