

McKinsey
& Company

The 5G inflection point


Operator perspective

Rolando Balsinde | Port Vila, July 12 2019





CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY
Any use of this material without specific permission of McKinsey & Company is strictly prohibited



Business performance has been challenging – falling revenues despite exploding usage





The inevitable race for 5G has begun and all operators are defining their strategies

	Challenger network	Best network (at scale)
First-movers	<p>Disruptors – attacking incumbents, but without a long-term strategy to lead in network</p> 	<p>First and best – brand value built on offering the best network <i>first</i> – focused on premium and early adopter customers</p> 
Wait-and-see	<p>Fast-followers – challengers content with awaiting proof of concepts, then following</p> 	<p>Stable leaders – focused on long-term network leadership – less so on moving first – leaders that launched 4G after market challengers</p> 

Operators are badly positioned to take on further investments with return of invested capital (ROIC) below cost of capital

Evolution of European MNOs return on invested capital


Percent



Without and inversion of trend, further investment in the sector would look increasingly unattractive

¹ Does not include Movistar (Telefonica) figures for Spain in 2015 due to the temporary impact of a plan for voluntary employment suspension

Over the last five years telcos have lost significant ground to new internet companies



1 Operators includes the top 25 global operators by revenue


2 Internet companies comprise of FANG, BAT and Apple

3 Based on December 2017 and September 2018 average, excluding companies that were not around in 2012

Going forward value pools will shift “up-stack” with diminishing value of connectivity

Global IoT value outlook 2025

USD billions



Regulators need to define an industry strategy and approach



Dimension	Topic	Example
Industry structure	# players	
	# networks	
	Private networks	
Spectrum	Bands	
	Auction approach	
Customer experience	KPIs	
	Coverage	
Wholesale and partnerships	Wholesale	
	National roaming	
	Network sharing	
	Ecosystems	
	Slicing	

There are several difficult but important trade-offs inherent in designing 5G regulation

Maximizing **revenue** from spectrum licenses

VS

Designing spectrum auctions to encourage **investment in networks**

Award spectrum to **non-traditional players** for experimentation with private networks

VS

Concentrate spectrum to **players at scale** optimize effectiveness of use

Encourage **network sharing** (e.g., national network) to drive down industry costs

VS

Strive for **infrastructure competition** from multiple networks as means of ensuring quality

Use regulation to drive **affordability** of services (e.g., mandated wholesale)

VS

Allow pricing flexibility to ensure operators make sufficient returns to fund **investments**

McKinsey
& Company

**McKinsey on regulation –
contact for further questions**

Rolando_Balsinde@McKinsey.com

Halldor_Sigurdsson@McKinsey.com

Gustav_Grundin@McKinsey.com

