ITU AI/ML in 5G Challenge Grand Challenge Finale (Final Conference)

## Theme 2 from NEC: A Lightweight deep learning model for network state estimation using raw video data

Team KCGI Yimeng Sun , Badr Mochizuki



#### 👖 Background

**Problem statement** 

## CONTENTS

**Proposed Method** 



P



ITU AI/ML in 5G Challenge Grand Challenge Finale (Final Conference)

# Background





#### Video streaming services





Webex Meetings

## Needs of interactive live video streaming services







Survey: Office worker in Tokyo who works from home by telework.\*

December 2019

Approximately 15.6%

#### April 2020

Approximately 49.1%

Interactive live video streaming services (e.g., telework system using web cameras) requires real-time playback that can't buffer videos like YouTube or Netflix.

video quality should be optimized based on the network conditions.

\*: Survey by Japan Telework Association

# Relationship between network state & video quality

Sender Network Receiver **High quality** Good condition **Both over-the-top (OTT) providers** and users are sensitive to network Low quality conditions because it affects the Bad condition quality of videos heavily.



### **Problem statement**



## Understanding network state from raw videos

#### Sender





Open video dataset



Live video streaming via RTP

with adjustable:

- Throughput
- Packet loss ratio

#### Received video data



#### Task: Estimate throughput/packet loss ratio using video data via ML based method.

# Proposed Method



### **Classes handling**

#### Throughput(kbps)

- 1100
- 1200
- 1300
- **1400**
- **1500**
- **1600**
- 1700
- **1800**
- **1900**
- 2000



#### Learning approach

• Throughput: 10 classes

Total Classes

Learning

**Estimation** 

• Packet loss ratio: 6 classes

- Learning throughput without using the data of packet loss ratio.
- Learning packet loss ratio using the data of throughput.

- Estimate throughput.
- Estimate packet loss ratio using derived throughput.



#### **Throughput prediction**



#### **Comparing File size & Bitrate**

Throughpu	.+		File		
Inroughpu			size		
🖬 0GHpTnbnTZs	1300kbps	01.mp4		42,541 KB	1574kbps
OGHpTnbnTZs	1300kbps	005.mp4		41,651 KB	1541kbps
OGHpTnbnTZs	1300kbps	0025.mp4		42,351 KB	1567kbps
🖻 0GHpTnbnTZs	1300kbps	025.mp4		42,611 KB	1577kbps
🖻 0GHpTnbnTZs	1400kbps	0001.mp4		43,684 KB	1616kbps
🖻 0GHpTnbnTZs	1400kbps	001.mp4		44,737 KB	1655kbps
🖻 0GHpTnbnTZs	1400kbps	01.mp4		43,689 KB	1616kbps
🖻 0GHpTnbnTZs	1400kbps	005.mp4		44,001 KB	1628kbps
🖻 0GHpTnbnTZs	1400kbps	0025.mp4		43,786 KB	1620kbps
🖻 0GHpTnbnTZs	1400kbps	025.mp4		44,284 KB	1639kbps
🖻 0GHpTnbnTZs	1500kbps	0001.mp4		45,648 KB	1689kbps
🖻 0GHpTnbnTZs	1500kbps	001.mp4		45,391 KB	1680kbps
🖻 0GHpTnbnTZs	1500kbps	01.mp4		45,396 KB	1680kbps
🖻 0GHpTnbnTZs	1500kbps	005.mp4		45,940 KB	1700kbps
🖻 0GHpTnbnTZs	1500kbps	0025.mp4		45,939 KB	1700kbps
0GHpTnbnTZs	1500kbps	025.mp4		45,866 KB	1697kbps
0GHpTnbnTZs	1600kbps	0001.mp4		47,824 KB	1770kbps
🖻 0GHpTnbnTZs	1600kbps	001.mp4		47,144 KB	1744kbps
OGHpTnbnTZs	1600kbps	01.mp4		47,300 KB	1750kbps
OGHpTnbnTZs	1600kbps	005.mp4		47,527 KB	1759kbps
🖻 0GHpTnbnTZs	1600kbps	0025.mp4		46,610 KB	1725kbps
	Throughpu	Throughput   Image: OGHpTnbnTZs 1300kbps   OGHpTnbnTZs 1400kbps   OGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps   OGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps   OGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps   OGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps   OGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps   OGHpTnbnTZs 1600kbps   OGHpTnbnTZs 1600kbps   OGHpTnbnTZs 1600kbps   OGHpTnbnTZs 1600kbps   OGHpTnbnTZs 1600kbps   OGHpTnbnTZs 1600kbps	Throughput   Image: Straig Str	ThroughputFile size© 0GHpTnbnTZs1300kbps01.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1300kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1300kbps025.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1300kbps025.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1400kbps001.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1400kbps001.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1400kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1400kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1400kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1400kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1400kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1400kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1500kbps001.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1500kbps001.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1500kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1500kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1500kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1500kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1500kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1500kbps001.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1600kbps001.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1600kbps001.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1600kbps01.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1600kbps05.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1600kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1600kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1600kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1600kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1600kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1600kbps005.mp4© 0GHpTnbnTZs1600kbps005.mp4 <td< th=""><th>File   size   GHpTnbnTZs 1300kbps 01.mp4 42,541 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1300kbps 005.mp4 41,651 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1300kbps 0025.mp4 42,351 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1300kbps 0025.mp4 42,611 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1400kbps 001.mp4 43,684 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1400kbps 001.mp4 43,689 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1400kbps 01.mp4 43,689 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1400kbps 005.mp4 44,001 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1400kbps 005.mp4 44,001 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1400kbps 025.mp4 43,786 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1400kbps 025.mp4 44,284 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps 001.mp4 45,396 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps 001.mp4 45,396 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps 001.mp4 45,396 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps 001.mp4 45,940 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps 025.mp4 45,940 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps <td< th=""></td<></th></td<>	File   size   GHpTnbnTZs 1300kbps 01.mp4 42,541 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1300kbps 005.mp4 41,651 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1300kbps 0025.mp4 42,351 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1300kbps 0025.mp4 42,611 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1400kbps 001.mp4 43,684 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1400kbps 001.mp4 43,689 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1400kbps 01.mp4 43,689 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1400kbps 005.mp4 44,001 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1400kbps 005.mp4 44,001 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1400kbps 025.mp4 43,786 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1400kbps 025.mp4 44,284 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps 001.mp4 45,396 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps 001.mp4 45,396 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps 001.mp4 45,396 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps 001.mp4 45,940 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps 025.mp4 45,940 KB   GGHpTnbnTZs 1500kbps <td< th=""></td<>

Throughput may have a correlation with

• File size of received video

Bit

rate

• Video bit rate of received video

ITU AI/ML in 5G Challenge Grand Challenge Finale (Final Conference) 13

## Supervised learning : Comparing File size & Bitrate

#### Learning Data

x: input								
name	size	bitrate	size_diff	bitrate_diff		Cls		
0GHpTnbnTZs_1100kbps_0001.mp4	36.4	1381	11.9	321		0		
0GHpTnbnTZs_1100kbps_001.mp4	37.3	1414	11.0	288		0		
0GHpTnbnTZs_1100kbps_0025.mp4	37.5	1419	10.8	283		0		
0GHpTnbnTZs_1100kbps_005.mp4	38.0	1440	10.3	262		0		
0GHpTnbnTZs_1100kbps_01.mp4	37.2	1411	11.1	291		0		

- Size: Size of received video(MB).
- Bitrate: Bitrate of received video(Kbps).
- Size\_diff: Difference between original video and received video in size.
- Bitrate\_diff: Difference between original video and received video in Bitrate.
- Cls: Classes (number between 0-10, which represents throughput between 1100-2000)

## **Neural Network and Result**

#### Network Model



#### Training method

- 1. Training roughly
  - Epochs = 250, Batch size = 3
- 2. Training carefully
  - Epochs = 500, Batch size = 3
  - Reduce learning rate on plateau
  - Early stopping



## **Packet loss ration prediction**

Frame extraction: Compare Original video Throughput **Deep Learning** 

Frame extraction: Received video



**Packet loss Ratio Estimation** 

## Frame Comparison : PSNR<sup>[2]</sup>

**PSNR** - a quality measure that is **robust** for small pixel displacement, which often happens in video transfer.

 $PSNR = 20 \times log_{10}(MAX_I) - 10 \times log_{10}(MSE)$ 

 $MAX_I$  is the maximum possible pixel value of the image.  $MAX_I = 2^N - 1$ , when the pixels are represented using N bits per sample. MSE is the Mean Squared Error between the original and the received image.



PSNR between original frame and received frame A = 19.58PSNR between original frame and received frame B = 10.00

- 1. PSNR value of each frame between original one and received one is calculated for all frames in each video.
- 2. Total number of frames whose PSNR values are less than some specific numbers are being counted and used as input for our model.

## **Supervised learning : Packet Loss Ratio**

#### Learning Data

<b>y</b>	x: inpu	x: input					
name	Condition	42	41	40	39	lossclass	
0GHpTnbnTZs_1100kbps_0001.mp4	1100	6074	6045	5818	5709	0	
0GHpTnbnTZs_1100kbps_001.mp4	1100	6048	5861	5793	5681	1	
0GHpTnbnTZs_1100kbps_0025.mp4	1100	6159	6128	5912	5815	2	
0GHpTnbnTZs_1100kbps_005.mp4	1100	5883	5837	5708	5626	3	
0GHpTnbnTZs_1100kbps_01.mp4	1100	6092	5903	5855	5744	4	

- Condition: Throughput
- 42: Frame count which its PSNR less than 42.
- 41: Frame count which its PSNR less than 41.
- 40: Frame count which its PSNR less than 40.
- 39: Frame count which its PSNR less than 39.
- Lossclass: Classes (number between 0-5, which represents packet loss ratio between 0.001%-0.25%)

Have a higher correlation than other PSNR thresholds.

## **Neural Network and Result**

#### Network Model

input	Fully Connected Layer	<b>→</b>	Dropout	->	Fully Connected Layer	->	Dropout	->	Fully Connected Layer	Output
	Input:5 Output:128		30% probability		Input:128 Output:128		30% probability		Input:128 Output:6	



#### Training method

- 1. Training roughly
  - Epochs = 250, Batch size = 3
- 2. Training carefully
  - Epochs = 500, Batch size = 2
  - Reduce learning rate on plateau
  - Early stopping







#### **Evaluation**

	Model 1 (Throughput)	Model 2 (loss ratio)				
Prediction Range	1100~2000(kbps)	0.001~0.25(%)				
MAE	4.44(kbps)	0.04(%)				

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |Estimation[i] - True \ Value[i]|$$

- The best mean absolute error (MAE) of Throughput has a result of 4.44. We consider it a good method to predict throughput from received videos.
- MAE of packet loss ratio has a result of 0.04. We believe this method can be improved further in our future work.

## Selling Point: advantages of the proposed method

- A precise method to predict throughput from received videos.
- Very lightweight model that can run on almost every platform.
- Only need file size and video bitrate for Estimating throughput.
- Extremely fast: Can estimate throughput for 500 videos in 1 second.



#### References

- [1] E. A. H. Schulzrinne, "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications, Request for Comments 3550", July 2003. https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3550.
- [2] D. Zhang et al., "Analysis the effect of packet loss on video quality of experience, "Computer Engineering and Applications", 46(1), pp. 71-71, 2010.
- [3] H. Ando, Y. Mochizuki, "Low-Latency Full HD Video Streaming using Wavelet-based Compression on GPU", Information Processing Society of Japan, 2009(3), pp. 1-6, 2009.
- [4] "A la carte of image quality evaluation," Available: https://dftalk.jp/?p=18111.



# Thank you for listening!