BACKBONE TECHNOLOGIES FOR LIFI IN INDUSTRIAL AND MEDICAL APPLICATIONS

Volker Jungnickel, Fraunhofer Heinrich Hertz Institute, Berlin, Germany





Industrial and medical use cases

Challenges

Moderate data rates, High QoS

- Data Rates: ≤ 100 Mbit/s
- Latency: ≤10 ms

High user density and parallel connections

- Simultaneous data transmission to ≥10 devices
- Next service next room

Industrial manufacturing

• 6-8 m² area, range ≥10 meters

Hybrid Operating Room

• 20-40 m² area, range ≥3 meters



Robots

Source: A. Mengi, devolo



In-building network in 2024

5G and WAN from outside, LAN and WLAN inside the building





Pag

e

Problem statement

LAN und WLAN vs. 5G

LAN: high speed, high QoS

- Ethernet: 1 Gb/s per user
- Coax-/PLC: 1-2 Gb/s shared between 1...16 users (G.hn)

WLAN: high speed but limited QoS

- Shared spectrum: up to 10 Gb/s for multiple users
- "Listen-before-Talk" random channel access to combat interference
- a) by other technologies, b) by other access points and stations

5G: High QoS but limited indoor capacity

- Licensed spectrum: deterministic channel access enables high QoS
- poor energy efficiency: large distance to base station, outdoor-to-indoor penetration loss

How to reach "wire-like wireless" QoS inside buildings?

• further develop LAN and WLAN technologies, complement 5G inside buildings

In-building network technologies

	rate	QoS	cost
LAN	high	high	high
WLAN	high	limited	low
5G	limited	high	high



Future in-building network

Overall system concept





Overview of main R&D topics

Optical access and in-building networks

Empower optical access

- develop PON as mainstream (GPON, XGS, HSP)
- keep coax, FWA and NTN as alternatives

Promote fiber to replace copper in buildings

- fibre-to-the-room (FttR): P2P vs. PON approaches (10 years for B2B, 30 years for B2C)
- keep Ethernet, coax and PLC as alternatives

Make Wi-Fi more reliable

- coexistence between different radio access technologies
- coordination of multiple access points
- use of higher frequency bands: mm-wave/LiFi

Integrate LAN+WLAN into 5G/6G network

• in-building network as non-3GPP RAN in 5G/6G core: from N3IWF to trusted non-3GPP access



LincNet project (BMWK, 2022-2025) Focus on PLC and LiFi





e

LincNet project (BMWK, 2022-2025) Focus on PLC and LiFi





Analog forwarding

Concatenate PLC and LiFi channels

LiFi can have multiple APs per room

- cabling increases cost (dominates TCO)
- PLC as backbone for LiFi: up to 2 Gbit/s with 2x2 MIMO

Multiple possible solutions for PLC+LiFi

- decode-and-forward, amplify-and-forward (analog forwarding)
- cost-effective solution: Single PHY/MAC for PLC+LiFi channel
 - channel access and scheduling are realized by the PLC gateway
 - current technologies match available BWs: 80 MHz for PLC/LEDs

Connect multiple LiFi APs via the same PLC network

• use dual diversity in optical and PLC channels to improve performance



Sources: devolo, HHI, TU Berlin



Analog forwarding

Concatenate PLC and LiFi channels

LiFi can have multiple APs per room

- cabling increases cost (dominates TCO)
- PLC as backbone for LiFi: up to 2 Gbit/s with 2x2 MIMO

Multiple possible solutions for PLC+LiFi

- decode-and-forward, amplify-and-forward (analog forwarding)
- cost-effective solution: Single PHY/MAC for PLC+LiFi channel
 - channel access and scheduling are realized by the PLC gateway
 - current technologies match available BWs: 80 MHz for PLC/LEDs

Connect multiple LiFi APs via the same PLC network

• use dual diversity in optical and PLC channels to improve performance







Analog forwarding

Concatenate PLC and LiFi channels

LiFi can have multiple APs per room

- cabling increases cost (dominates TCO)
- PLC as backbone for LiFi: up to 2 Gbit/s with 2x2 MIMO

Multiple possible solutions for PLC+LiFi

- decode-and-forward, amplify-and-forward (analog forwarding)
- cost-effective solution: Single PHY/MAC for PLC+LiFi channel
 - channel access and scheduling are realized by the PLC gateway
 - current technologies match available BWs: 80 MHz for PLC/LEDs

Connect multiple LiFi APs via the same PLC network

• use dual diversity in optical and PLC channels to improve performance





Sources: devolo, HHI, TU Berlin





^{ium} Covering all network layers

- **FttH/FttR:** BISDN, Maxlinear, Fraunhofer HHI, devolo, InnoRoute (UA)
- PLC: devolo, Teleconnect
- **Wi-Fi:** Maxlinear, devolo, Fraunhofer HHI, TU Braunschweig, NewMediaNet, HS Nordhausen
- **LiFi:** Fraunhofer HHI, Maxlinear, devolo, Teleconnect, Trumpf (UA), Broadcom (UA)
- Security: T-Systems, Quanticor, TUM
- Applications
 - Medical: ICAAS, KLSMartin, SurgiTaix
 - Industry: Werner-von-Siemens-Centre
 - SOHO: EverNet, Fraunhofer HHI

Focus on integration and demonstration at higher TRL



e

5G-COMPASS project (BMDV, 2023-2024) Bundesministerium **Covering all network layers** für Digitales und Verkehr Integration and PoCs FttH/FttR: BISDN, Maxlinear, Fraunhofer ٠ HHI, devolo, InnoRoute (UA) NFT7TFCHN010GIFN b, Fraunhofer HHI, MediaNet, HS BROADCOM axlinear, devolo, A), Broadcom (UA) Dense uanticor, TUM SMartin, SurgiTaix n-Siemens-Centre SOHO: EverNet, Fraunhofer HHI Relaving ٠ Focus on integration and **T**··Systems· Fraunhofer MAXLINEAR demonstration at higher TRL



Next generation LiFi

VCSEL- and PD-arrays for >1 GHz bandwidth

Bandwidth

- Today: 1 Gbit/s with high-power LEDs
- Future: 10 Gbit/s with VCSEL-arrays (developed for LIDAR)

Fast PDs have small area: keep wide FOV

- Today: Large-area photodiodes for non-aligned indoor links
- Future: Arrays of small photodiodes with switching matrix

Rx alignment is realized by switching

- Select-and-combine the best photodiodes on the array
- Chip is designed, tape-out soon, > 1 GHz BW, same FOV





OWIN6G project (EU MSCA, 2023-2027)

Next generation PLC+LiFi

FttR deployment is costly

- alternatives: Coax in the U.S., DSL/PLC in Europe
- next-gen. LiFi has 10-20x higher bandwidth

Next generation PLC

- wider bandwidth is available: noise and sharing are tbd.
- more MIMO: handle every room as a separate PLC domain

Analog forwarding maybe interesting for FttR, too

- keep simplicity and low cost but increase bandwidth: FttR is ideal backbone for LiFi
- However, LiFi is mobile : 20-40 dB gain variations → previous research using LiFi over POF (HHI, TU/E, Signify)





OWIN6G project (EU MSCA, 2023-2027)

Next generation backbone for LiFi

FttR deployment is costly alternative • next-gen. Next gener wider bar more MIN 0 1.00000 GH Analog for

- keep simplicity and low cost but increase bandwidth: FttR channel is ideal
- However, LiFi is mobile : 20-40 dB gain variations → previous research using LiFi over POF (HHI, TU Eindhoven)



Conclusions

Backbone technologies for LiFi in industrial and medical applications

Analysis of current in-building technologies

• limited QoS due to random access in Wi-Fi is a major issue

Future network concept to complement 5G/6G inside buildings

- use FttH+FttR besides copper for higher bandwidth
- use LiFi and mm-wave besides sub-7GHz Wi-Fi

Next-generation backbone technologies

- mature analog forwarding concept for existing PLC+LiFi technologies
- wider bandwidth for LiFi is likely to create the need for more bandwidth in the backbone
- next generation PLC+LiFi, consider analog forwarding also for FttR+LiFi







Sources: ICAAS, WvSC

Fraunhofer Institute for Telecommunications, Heinrich Hertz Institute, HHI

WE PUT SCIENCE INTO ACTION.

Contact:

Prof. Dr. Volker Jungnickel volker.jungnickel@hhi.fraunhofer.de +49 (0)30 31002 - 768

Einsteinufer 37 10587 Berlin

www.hhi.fraunhofer.de



