SYSTEMICS Group

ITU Workshop on Performance, QoS and QoE Dakar, Senegal, 19-March 2018

Erwin Dirckx

SYSTEMICS Group

12 Locations worldwide

30 Years of experience

200 Professional experts

20 % > Year-on-Year Growth

GLOBAL PROVIDER OF NETWORK QUALITY SOLUTIONS





Overview of Performance, QoS and QoE solutions

- » Network performance = Access Network + Core Network
- » QoS = Network performance + User Equipment
- » QoE = QoS + User
- » So
 - → NP parameters determine the QoS
 - → QoS parameters determine the QoE
 - → NP parameters ultimately determine the QoS/QoE
 - → Careful with use of QoE KPI to conclude on NP or QoS



Overview of Performance, QoS and QoE solutions

- » KPIs
 - → Selected indicators that give a quantification of performance for different services
- » QoS
 - → Totality of characteristics of a telecommunications service that bear on its ability to satisfy stated and implied needs of the user of the service (ETSI TS 102 250-1)
- » QoE
 - → Overall acceptability of an application or service, as perceived subjectively by the end-user (ETSI TR 102 643)

Methodologies/QoS Parameters

- » Different and complementary approaches to Mobile QoS
 - → Intrusive tests
 - \odot Stationary tests with an installed grid of probes

IuB

RNC

HLR

SGSN

IuPS

Gn/Gp

GGSN

IMS

- ➔ Walk/Hot Spot/Railway tests
- \bigcirc Drive tests with cars
- ➔ Crowdsourcing (take QoE into account)

eNB

- → Network counters from NMS
- → Passive probing



Mapping Performance, QoS and QoE solutions



National benchmarking addressing regulators requirements

- » Use of state-of-the art benchmarking equipment allowing testing of latest technologies and terminals
- » Emphasis on testing KPIs defined in mobile operators licenses
- » Priorities on coverage and Quality of Services
- Technical analysis of collected data to explain results to operators
- » Validated KPIs that can stand court
- » ISO 9001:2015 certified
 - → Measurements in telecommunications networks
- » KPI scoring mechanism according to ETSI standarisation
- » Versatile reporting: BI tools, customized reports, maps, web portal





Measurement Accuracy

- » ETSI standards used TS 102 250 , TR 102 678
- » ITU-T standards used P.800, P.863
- » Statistical theory applied
 - → Number of test calls representative for
 - \bigcirc Population density
 - \bigcirc Measurement routes
 - \odot Measurement hours
 - → Number of measurement calls depends on the reliability of the results

 - \bigcirc Fault margin of the used equipment
- » Standard assumptions
 - → Measurement error < 3%
 - → Confidence level > 95%
 - \rightarrow The span of the confidence interval is 1.28%
 - → This results in 1,080 calls per technology
 - ⊖ Source: ETSI TS 102 250-6 V1.2.1 (2004-10), Pearson-Clopper formula

Interactive Reporting with a BART tool



» Smart filtering and export capabilities

Analytics - Interactive Portal - Serbia



 CHOOSE TEST
 AGGREGATION
 SINGLE LOCATION

 Voice Service
 All
 All

TECHNOLOGY

All Mixed 2G 3G

- » RATEL Serbia
- » Publically available website
- » Results presented on the map
- » Freely selectable filter criteria
- » Intuitive user friendly GUI
- » Zooming down to the street level
- » Comparative operators results
- » http://benchmark.ratel.rs/en/p ortal



SHOW

Failed calls





Dropped calls



Technologies & Services supported

» Technologies

2G/3G/LTE/Freemode up to 8 SIMs per probe WiFi 802.11 a/b/g/n/ac Up to 3 fixed lines (1Gbps) per probe Support for IPv4 & IPv6

» Data Services:

- → File Transfer:
 - \ni HTTP file DL and UL
 - \odot FTP DL and UL
- → HTTP web browsing
- → YouTube
- → Facebook
- → Ping latency
- → DNS latency
- → UDP streaming DL and UL (iperf3)
- → Peer-to-Peer
- → SMS
- \rightarrow Connection setup

» Voice Services:

- → CS
- → Volte
- → VoWiFi
- → VoIP



KPIs according to industry standard

- » ETSI TS 102 250 series
 - → Part 2: Definition of Quality of Service parameters and their computation
 - → Part 6: Post processing and statistical methods.
- » All Video Streaming KPIs provided by DAP are conform to ETSI TR 101 578 v1.1.1 (Speech and multimedia Transmission Quality (STQ); QoS aspects of TCP-based video services like YouTubeTM)
- » Operators can use this to report their KPIs to the regulators!

KPIs according to industry standard – e.g. web ETSI TS 102 250

КРІ Туре	KPI Name	КРІ Туре	KPI Name		
HTTP Web	HTTP Web Download Count	HTTP File	HTTP File Download Count		
	HTTP Web Download Success Rate	Upload	HTTP File Download Success Rate HTTP File Download Syn RTT HTTP File Download Time		
	HTTP Web Download Time				
	HTTP Web Throughput				
	HTTP Web Syn RTT				
	HTTP Web TCP Payload		HTTP File Download Throughput		
	Various TCP/IP performance metrics		Various TCP/IP performance metrics		

KPIs according to industry standards – e.g. YouTube ETSI TS 101 578 V1.1.1



KPIs according to industry standard – e.g. YouTube

КРІ Туре	KPI Name	КРІ Туре	KPI Name		
Video Streaming (YouTube)	Player Session Time (msec)		Total Freeze Duration (msec)		
	Video IP Service Access Time (msec)		Quality used		
	IP Service Access Time (msec)		Player Session Success		
	Video Reproduction Start Delay (msec)		Player Session Success Rate (%)		
	Video Play Start Time (msec)	Video Streaming	Video IP Service Access Success		
	Video Playout Duration (msec)		Video IP Service Access Success Rate (%)		
	Video Session Time (msec)	(YouTube)	Video Reproduction Start Success		
	Video Freeze Occurrences		Video Reproduction Start Success Rate (%)		
	Video Maximum Freeze Duration (msec)		Video Playout Success		
	Video Freeze Time Proportion (%)		Video Playout Success Rate (%)		
			Video Session Success		
			Video Session Success Rate (%)		

DAP Benchmarker – As an addition to benchmarking

- » Comparative results for FTP, HTTP, UDP, ping, DNS, VoIP, YouTube, P2P (main KPI)
- » Performance ranking using colour codes (green best, red worst, orange other)
- » Sampling information

- » Selection of: time period, composite sequence & sequences to appear as table columns
- » Just a click on "Command" needed to access respective statistical details

Start Date	*	Command	Unit	De	emo_Bench_Operator 1		Demo_Bench_Operator 2		Demo_Bench_Operator 3		
End Date		FTP_DL_6M	Mbps	0	7.3	\bigcirc	7.02		8.39		
19-03-2014	٣	FTP_UL_2M	Mbps		2.03	\bigcirc	2.47		3.11		
Compos. Sequences Demo_Bench_bDAP	Ŧ	Ping_32B	ms	0	52		67		43		
Change		HTTP_Kepler	sec	0	8.69	\bigcirc	10.3	\bigcirc	8.27		
		DNS_Google	ms		82	\bigcirc	42	0	52		
Sequences	Sequences Demo_Bench_Operator 1 Number of samples										
Demo_Bench_Operator 2 Demo_Bench_Operator 3 Check all Uncheck all		Command		Demo_Bench_Operator 1			Demo_Bench_Operator 2		Demo_Bench_Operator 3		
		FTP_DL_5M		67			68		75		
		FTP_UL_2M			72		81		77		
		Ping_32B			77		84		81		
		HTTP_Kepler			77		84		81		
<mark>۲</mark>		DNS_Google			78		83		17 82		

QoS during major events – e.g. FIFA World Cup

- » DAP mimics the behavior of roaming Customer
 - → The probe in "Country A" loads a profile of a customer from the SIM card server
 - → The probe in the WC 2018 city loads a profile of a roaming customer visiting Russia
 - → Calls between probes are generated and the defined KPIs are measured
 - ➔ Including fraudulent traffic verification like SIM boxes/call masking
- » Tests are automatically repeated according to a predefined call sequence



SIM Box Detection

- » A DAP can detect SIM Box by comparing A number with the CLI displayed on the B side
- Subscriber A number substitution can be correlated with low MOS value **>>**

Saudi Arabia

» User can find the Potential SIM Box number and perform adequate action



Passive probes

- » Probe monitors data from standard network interfaces instead of processing traces provided by network elements
- » Such approach makes network monitoring independent from particular vendor's constraints and limitations.
- » KPI's, statistics are calculated based on the same known formulas for all vendors. This allows for vendors benchmarking in multi-vendor networks.





Passive probing possibilities for Regulators

- » Possible options for the Regulatory Body:
 - → Monitor only interconnects (connection between mobile operator and external world)

Ŀ

UE

- \bigcirc Relatively low cost
- \odot Limited information narrowed to interconnect calls
- \bigcirc No visibility on internal calls
- → Monitor all interfaces of mobile operator
 - \odot Best results but is most expensive
 - \odot Several systems monitoring separate networks.
- → Monitor selected interfaces to get most possible network visibility
 - \bigcirc A interface for GSM
 - ➔ IuCS/IuPS or Gn/Gp interface for UMTS network
 - \odot S1-MME or S11/S5/S8 for LTE
 - \bigcirc Best quality to price ratio
 - ③ E.g. Ability to trace fake user equipment with their IMEI number/GSMA TAC list



Passive probing can also measure QoE

- » Creating Voice and Data customer experience metrics by blending and weighting the underlying technical KPIs
- » The analytics platform displays results from PS and CS monitoring tools
- » Evaluate service quality with a point scoring system 0 100
 - → Quality points measuring customer experience of voice and data services



SYSTEMICS Group

GLOBAL PROVIDER OF NETWORK QUALITY SOLUTIONS

