ITU Kaleidoscope 2016 ICTs for a Sustainable World Multi-path Chunked Video Exchanges over OF@TEIN SDN Cloud Playground Phyo May Thet*, JongWon Kim<a>, Chaodit Aswakul* *Wireless Network and Future Internet (WIFUN) Research Group Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand ♦Network Computing Systems Laboratory (NetCS) School of Information and Communications, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology, South Korea

> Bangkok, Thailand 14-16 November 2016





Ms. Phyo May Thet phyo.ma@student.chula.ac.th

Prof. JongWon Kim jongwon@nm.gist.ac.kr



Assoc. Prof. Dr. Chaodit Aswakul chaodit.a@chula.ac.th



Outlines of Talk

- Why do we need Software-Defined Networking (SDN)?
- Introduction of OF@TEIN SDN Cloud Playground Testbed
- Motivation and objectives
- Design of Multi-path Chunked Video Exchanges (i.e., file transferring and streaming)
- Results and Discussion
- Summary

Software-Defined Networking (SDN)



[1] ONF Market Education Committee, and others, "Software defined networking: The new norm for networks," ONF White Paper., Palo Alto, US, Open Networking Foundation, April, 2012.

[2] N. McKeown, T. Anderson, H. Balakrishnan, G. Parulkar, L. Peterson, J. Rexford, S. Shenker, J. Turner, "OpenFlow: enabling innovation in campus networks," ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review 38., pp. 69-74, April, 2008.

OF@TEIN Infrastructure*



*Use OF@TEIN infrastructure fig. permitted by Aris

[3] A.C. Risdianto, N.L. Kim, J. Shin, J. Bae, M. Usman, T.C. Ling, P. Panwaree, P.M. Thet, C. Aswakul, N.H. Thanh, A. Iqbal, U. Javed, M.U. Ilyas, and J. Kim, "OF@TEIN: A community efforts towards open/shared SDN-Cloud virtual playground," *in Proc. of the Asia-Pacific Advanced Network* 40., pp. 22-28, August 10-14, 2015.

Objectives

- To design and develop middle-box splitting functionalities for chunked video exchanges (i.e., file transfer and streaming) by using POX controller, OpenStack, Open vSwitches, KVM based Middle-box and SmartX boxes
- To reduce middle-box processing delay and to enable multi-path capacity leverage by introducing the combination of multi-path file transfer function and Tsunami protocol[4] over OF@TEIN SDN cloud playground
- To investigate cloud file transferring and video streaming over OF@TEIN SDN cloud playground

[4]M.R. Meiss, "Tsunami: A high-speed rate-controlled protocol for file transfer," Indiana University, 2004.

Why Multi-path Chunked Video Exchanges?

Motivation to reduce middle-box processing delay (demo)



OF@TEIN SmartX boxes location



Server room @Chamchuri 9 Building



CHULA SmartX Box



Server room @GIST

Bangkok, Thailand, 14-16 November 2016 ITU Kaleidoscope 2016 - *ICTs for a Sustainable World*



GIST-B SmartX Box

Architecture of Multi-path Chunked Video Exchanges over OF@TEIN SDN Cloud Playground



Video File Transfer Experimental Settings

Tsunami server

ubuntu@phyo-gist-test:~/26_fileshare\$ tsunamidport	46224 Bigbanny	y4k.mp4			^					
	Phyo@mbox: ~									A
The specified 1 files will be listed on GET *: 1) Bigbanny4k.mp4 883993929 bytes total characters 15	phyo@mbox:~\$ tsunami set rate 300M connect 192.168.11.1 get Bigbanny4k.mp4 Tsunami Client for protocol rev 20061025 Revision: v1.1 devel cysbuild 43					p4 -	Tsunami client			
Block size: 1024	Compiled: Mar 5 2016 00:38:19									
Buffer size: 20000000	rate = 300000000									
Port: 46224										
Tsunami Server for protocol rev 20061025	Connected.									
Revision: v1.1 devel cvsbuild 43										
Compiled: Apr 6 2016 09:41:07	Warning: overwriting existing file 'Bigbanny4k.mp4'									
Waiting for clients to connect.	Receiving data on UDP	2 port 46224	+	noton total		huf	fore	transfor	romaining	OC UDD
New client connecting from 192.168.11.16	timo blk	data rato r	ovmit b	hlk data	rato r	ovnit aug	uo ring	blk	rt lon	orr
Client authenticated. Negotiated parameters are:	00:00:00.351 2800 0	0.00M 62.3Mbps	0.0% 21	2800 0.0G	62.3Mbns	0.0%	0 6	860476	10_1en	0
Block size: 1024	00:00:00.703 5000 0	0.00M 111.1Mbps	0.0% 78	800 0.0G	86.6Mbps	0.0%	0 2	855476	õ	0
Buffer size: 20000000	00:00:01.056 5900 0	.00M 131.0Mbps	0.0% 13	700 0.0G	101.3Mbps	0.0%	0 2	849576	0	0
Port: 46224	00:00:01.406 6250 0	.00M 139.4Mbps	0.0% 199	950 0.0G	110.8Mbps	0.0%	0 9	843326	0	0
Request for file: 'Bigbanny4k.mp4'	00:00:01.758 7900 0	.00M 175.7Mbps	0.4% 278	850 0.0G	123.7Mbps	0.0%	30 6	835426	30	0
Sending to client port 46224	00:00:02.112 9950 0	.66M 220.2Mbps	0.2% 378	800 0.0G	139.8Mbps	0.0%	24 19	825476	24	0
erate ipd target block %done srvNr	00:00:02.467 11500	0.53M 253.4Mbps	0.0% 49	9300 0.0G	156.1Mbps	0.0%	1	3 813977	1	0
0 67.50 us 27 us 4353 0.50 1	00:00:02.818 12450	0.02M 277.3Mbps	0.6% 63	1750 0.1G	171.2Mbps	0.0%	69	6 801528	69	0
0 56.25us 27us 9571 1.11 1	00:00:03.169 12350	1.54M 275.0Mbps	0.9% 74	4100 0.1G	182.6Mbps	0.0%	110	3 789178	110	0
0 46.88us 27us 15855 1.84 1	•									

- Resolution : 3840 x 2610(4-K video); Duration : 10 min
- Total file size : 843 Mbytes (6744 Mbits)

Tsunami file transfer protocol (TCP+UDP)

Bulk data are transferred via UDP and control data are transferred via TCP

Tsunami file transfer parameters @GIST-B Tsunami server

- Block size:1024 bytes (how large UDP blocks to use)
- Buffer size: 20 Mbytes (size of ring buffer in RAM)

Tsunami file transfer parameters @CHULA Middle-box Tsunami client

Target file transfer rate: 100,200,300,400,500 Mbits/s

Experimental Scenarios

Scenario	Selected parameter for Tsunami				
Multi-path (1 sec via MY: 2 sec via GIST-B)	Target file transfer rate:				
Single-path (GIST-B>MY> CHULA)	100, 200, 300, 400, 500 Mbps				
Single-path (GIST-B> CHULA)					

Transfer complete. Flushing to disk and signaling server to stop... IIII PC performance figure : 0 packets dropped (if high this indicates receiving PC overload) Transfer duration : 25.70 seconds Total packet data : 6750.38 Mbit Goodput data : 6734.08 Mbit File data : 6744.34 Mbit Throughput : 262.65 Mbps Goodput w/ restarts : 262.01 Mbps Final file rate : 262.41 Mbps Transfer mode : lossless

tsunami> 🚪

 $Transfer \ Duration = \frac{Total \ File \ size}{Actual \ File \ Transfer \ Rate}$

where: Transfer Duration = File transfer duration between server and client in seconds (s),

Total File size = File size in bits (bits) and

Actual File Transfer Rate = Rate of file transmission in bits per second (bps).

Results and Discussion

Actual file transfer rate

Target File Transfer rate(Mbps)



ITU Kaleidoscope 2016 - ICTs for a Sustainable World

4K RTP Video Streaming within Chula SmartX Box Network

To investigate the performance of 4K RTP video streaming within a local area network



- Video Codec : H.264 ; Audio and Video Mean bit rate 11133 kbits/s
- Streaming Mode: RTP
- VLC player is used for both server and client over X 11 Desktop environment

Summary of Multi-path Chunked Video Exchanges over OF@TEIN SDN Cloud Playground

- We have tested the combination of traditional Tsunami protocol and proposed multi-path file transferring function. And streamed out the 4K video streaming within CHULA SmartX Box network.
- We have implemented the X11 desktop environment and access method for remote OpenStack VMs in order to use the GUI applications with fast access.

Recommended	Not recommended				
When the links are congested and not enough to carry the whole video file traffic.	When the main path capacity already suffices for carrying				
When the selected target file transfer rate of Tsunami protocol lead to be congested on the available network links.	out the incoming packets of video file traffic and the main path already having a				
To adjust the video resolution scale to be around 50% (eg. resolution:1630x937) lower than the normal 4K resolution scale due to VLC player bottleneck for 4K video	available paths				

Thank you

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Chaodit Aswakul Wireless Network & Future Internet Research Group Department of Electrical Engineering Chulalongkorn University, THAILAND chaodit.a@chula.ac.th

www.ee.eng.chula.ac.th