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1. **Introduction**

This document is a Call for Evidence (CfE) on the coding of biomedical waveform data. A need for the coding of such time-based neurophysiology signal data has been reported to Q6/16 in the liaison statement [SG16-TD103/Gen](https://www.itu.int/wftp3/av-arch/video-site/2301_Tel/T22-SG16-230710-TD-GEN-0103!!MSW-E.docx) from DICOM WG32. It is noted that there is no well-accepted compressed coding format for biomedical waveform data such as electrocardiography (ECG), electroencephalography (EEG), and electromyography (EMG) signals. The design of the subsequent submission and evaluation criteria follows the requirements laid out in the draft A.1 justification produced at the 10–21 July 2023 meeting of ITU-T SG16, as attached in [Annex A](#_Annex_A:_Draft) of this document. Responses to this Call are requested for consideration at the Q6/16 teleconference meeting of 22–26 January 2024. Additional information submitted beyond that time may also be considered.

1. **Purpose and procedure**

The purpose of this CfE is to collect and evaluate coding technology for biomedical waveform data. Companies and organizations that have identified or developed such technology are invited to submit a response to this Call.

To evaluate a proposed compression technology, bit rates will be traded off against distortion measures as specified in section ‎4. Moreover, in a later stage, DICOM experts are expected to evaluate whether data compressed by a proposed technology in the coding conditions specified in section ‎5 could have clinically-relevant differences or result in the same medical diagnoses (performed either by human experts or by machines) as the original data. These evaluations are expected to follow the protocol which was developed by the DICOM WG32 group for an assessment of the impact of artificially added signal noise on medical diagnoses. Based on the results of the tests and based on technical aspects (like, e.g., computational complexity, memory requirements, minimum structural delay) the course of action regarding the proposed technologies will be decided.

Descriptions of responses shall be registered as input documents to the Q6/16 (virtual mode) meeting of 22–26 January 2024. Proponents also need to attend this meeting to present their responses. Respondents who are not members of ITU-T may participate by the personal invitation of the Q6/16 Rapporteur (see Contacts in section ‎7 of this document).

Additional contributions submitted after that time are also welcome, but next steps and further plans for Q6/16 will be established at that meeting.

1. **Timeline**

The timeline for the Call for Evidence is as follows:

2024-01-12 Upload of bitstreams and decoder software

2024-01-15 Upload of document describing the submitted technology

2024-01-22/26 Consideration of responses at teleconference meeting of Q6/16

1. **Error measures**

Two error measures are employed to objectively evaluate the compressed representations of the test data. The input sequences are specified in section ‎5. Let *N* be the number of channels and let *M* be the number of samples per channel of an input sequence. Furthermore, let be the *j*-th sample (with ) of channel *i* (with ) and let be the corresponding reconstructed sample after decoding a bitstream. The maximum absolute error (*MAE*) is then defined as

Moreover, if is the mean of the i-th channel, i.e.

the percentage root mean square distortion (PRD) is defined as

Please note that, in contrast to some definitions found in the literature, this definition of the PRD includes a mean-removal in order to be invariant towards constant signal-shifts. In order to take different variance-ranges in different channels into account, the channel-normalized percentage root mean square distortion (CPRD) shall be defined as

1. **Test data and coding conditions**

The input sequences to be tested are specified in three categories as specified in subsections ‎5.2 to ‎5.4. Nine working points (WP0 to WP8) are defined. Here, the first working point (WP0) defines a lossless compression while the last eight working points (WP1 to WP8) are defined in terms of restrictions on the bitstream size. The latter is measured by the number of bits per sample (BPS), defined as

where *N* is the number of channels and *M* is the number of samples per channel of a given input sequence. The last eight working points target compression technologies which, for a given maximal BPS, minimize the PRD.

The working points are defined as follows:

* WP0: *MAE* = 0 (lossless)
* WP1: *BPS* <= 3.0
* WP2: *BPS* <= 2.5
* WP3: *BPS* <= 2.0
* WP4: *BPS* <= 1.5
* WP5: *BPS* <= 1.0
* WP6: *BPS* <= 0.75
* WP7: *BPS* <= 0.5
* WP8: *BPS* <= 0.3

One bitstream shall be produced for each input sequence and working point so that the conditions specified for the working point are fulfilled. Submission of bitstreams for the lossless working point WP0 is not mandatory but is highly encouraged.

## Availability and format of the test data

All test data used for the CfE can be downloaded from the following location:

Server: [ftp.hhi.fraunhofer.de](https://urldefense.com/v3/__http:/ftp.hhi.fraunhofer.de__;!!Ab1_Rw!ExOfc-x3p0e9RCmWEdTCbSatvCU5AIjYpC7ovhXAk9GmDH_epQgMsHc6UnSLIewoZqsap0lXQl4o7Z98T51cvaymJphwNcozfzg$)

Login: dicom

Password: yX5GUw.Zn

The files are provided in the European Data Format (EDF). See Bob Kemp, Alpo Värri, Agostinho C. Rosa, Kim D. Nielsen and John Gade. "[A simple format for exchange of digitized polygraphic recordings](https://paulbourke.net/dataformats/edf/document.pdf)". *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, 82 (1992): 391-393. See also <https://www.edfplus.info/>.

## Electroencephalography (EEG) signals

Name of dataset: EEG dataset containing interictal epileptiform discharges and seizures

FTP-file: MUSC\_Dataset\_E.zip

Number of input sequences: 41

## Electrocardiography (ECG) signals

Name of dataset: MIT-BIH Arrhythmia Database

FTP-file: MIT\_ECG\_Dataset.zip

Number of input sequences: 48

## Electromyography (EMG) signals

Name of dataset: Dataset for multi-channel surface electromyography (sEMG) signals of hand  
 gestures

FTP-file: MENDELEY\_Dataset.zip

Number of input sequences: 40

1. **Requested content of submissions**

Proponents are requested to submit a technical description of the proposed technology sufficient for full conceptual understanding and generation of equivalent performance results by experts to the meeting where the evaluation is performed.

Proponents should implement their proposed technology in software and include information about the used programming language in their proposal document.

Proponents should also upload bitstreams for all input sequences and working points of at least one of the three categories specified in sections ‎5.2 to ‎5.4 to the ftp server specified in section ‎5.1 by the date specified in the timeline of section ‎3. The access data for uploading the bitstreams can be obtained from the CfE coordinators of section ‎7 upon request.

Proponents shall report *PRD* and *CPRD* values for each bitstream along with the number of bits per sample (*BPS*).

For each category and each of the the eight working points WP-1 to WP-8, the average of the *BPS, PRD* and *CPRD* values of all associated bitstreams shall be reported.

For each input sequence, a graph shall be provided that shows the *PRD* values over the *BPS* values for the eight working points WP-1 to WP-8 and connects the working points by linear or some other interpolation method. Moreover, for each input sequence, a second graph shall be provided that shows the *CPRD* values over the *BPS* values for the eight working points WP-1 to WP-8 and connects the working points by linear or some other interpolation method.

Proponents are requested to provide binary executable decoders to decode the submitted bitstreams and a description of the necessary runtime environment. Provision of source code for encoding and decoding is encouraged but not required. The provided decoder software must be capable of decoding the bitstreams and storing the decoded data in the same format as the test sequence or in a similar uncompressed raw format. When a different uncompressed raw format is used, proponents must provide software or at least a clear description to show how to readily extract the channel data from the decoded files. Submissions of software shall include permission to enable the software to be copied and used at least for purposes of evaluation of the submissions. In the event that ITU-T chooses to develop a standard on this subject at a later stage, it is likely that source code would be expected to be provided for encoding, decoding, and experimentation, and potentially to be used as the basis of implementations of the standard, and such software would need to conform to the ITU-T Software Copyright Guidelines. As with prior projects of Q6/16, the development of software source code to be published and available under a “permissive software licence” is likely to be undertaken. The development of a standard would also be subject to the Common Patent Policy for ITU-T/ITU-R/ISO/IEC. Further information on IPR management in ITU-T is found at <https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-T/ipr/Pages/default.aspx>.

1. **Contacts**

Jonathan Pfaff (CfE coordinator and chair of Q6/16 AHG on coding of biomedical waveform data), Jonathan Halford (DICOM WG 32 co-chair), Gary J. Sullivan (Rapporteur Q6/16)

Coordinator Email: [jonathan.pfaff@hhi.fraunhofer.de](mailto:jonathan.pfaff@hhi.fraunhofer.de); [halfordj@musc.edu](mailto:halfordj@musc.edu); [gary.sullivan@dolby.com](mailto:gary.sullivan@dolby.com)

# 

# Annex A: Draft A.1 justification for potential new Recommendation on biomedical and waveform signal coding

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Question:** | Q6/16 | **Proposed new ITU-T Recommendation** | Online or Venue, day-day month year | | |
| **Reference and title:** | | Biomedical and waveform signal coding | | | |
| **Base text:** | N/A | | | **Timing:** | YYYY-MM |
| **Editor(s):** | Name, membership, e-mail address | | | **Approval process:** | AAP |
| **Scope:** | | | | | |
| A compressed coding format for medical waveform data (e.g. neurophysiology, electrocardiography, and so on) will be defined. This compressed format is targeted towards medical applications in DICOM and other organizations | | | | | |
| **Summary** (provides a brief overview of the purpose and contents of the Recommendation, thus permitting readers to judge its usefulness for their work): | | | | | |
| Currently, there lacks a codec with sufficient compression capability for neurophysiology and electrocardiography data and other similar types of biomedical waveform signals. Current audio codecs have significant limitations when applied to biomedical waveform data due to the application of psychoacoustic masking and limitations on channel number and block size. As such, a new standard that can support efficient lossless and near-lossless compression and transmission specifically for biomedical waveform data is almost certainly needed.  Neurophysiology data consists of 16-24 bit data with between 21 and several hundred channels sampled at between 256 and 40K Hz. Clinical scalp EEG is typically 21 channels sampled at between 256 and 1000 Hz. Clinical intracranial EEG consists of between 64 and several hundred channels sampled with a bit depth of 16 or 24 bits at around 2000 Hz. Research on intracranial EEG (human and animal neurophysiology) involves up to several hundred channels sampled at a bit depth of 16 or 24 bits and a sampling rate up to approximately 40K Hz.  The codec will need to meet the following minimum requirements:  1. Be able to support 16 to 24 bits (possibly higher) per sample.  2. Be able to support a large range of sampling rates (500–2000 sample/s for clinical use, up to 40k samples/s for research).  3. Be able to support a large number of channels (up to hundreds).  4. Be able to support channels with the same or different sampling rates.  5. Be able to support lossy, near-lossless and lossless compression. A lossless-only approach will not produce better than a 2-3X compression ratio, which is not sufficient.  6. Be able to support blocking and indexing for rapid access within large datasets. Block size should be optimized, and an index to blocks can be stored outside of encapsulated bitstream (such as in fragments/frames encoding in DICOM).  7. Have a mode where independent decoding of channels is supported.  8. Associated metadata should be supported (possibly stored outside of the bitstream). | | | | | |
| **Relations to ITU-T Recommendations or to other standards (approved or under development):** | | | | | |
| TBD | | | | | |
| **Liaisons with other study groups or with other standards bodies:** | | | | | |
| DICOM WG32 | | | | | |
| **Supporting members that are committing to contributing actively to the work item:** | | | | | |
| Fraunhofer HHI, others TBD | | | | | |